



HENLEY-IN-ARDEN SCHOOL

Achieving Excellence Together

Name of Policy	Examinations Policy (includes Examination Contingency Plan, BTEC Policy & GCSE Controlled Assessment Policy)	
Lead	Mr M McCulley (Deputy Headteacher) Mrs W Kelly (Exams Officer)	
Policy Status	Updated	March 2018
	Governor Approved	Yes
	Date Governor Approved	June 2018
Review Frequency	3 years	
Next Review	June 2021	

Key staff involved in the exams policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Mr S Jefferies
Exams officer	Mrs W Kelly
SENCo	Mrs C Grantham
SLT member(s)	Mr M McCulley

Contents

Purpose of the policy	6
Roles and responsibilities overview	6
Exam contingency plan	7
Internal appeals procedures	7
Disability policy (exams)	7
Complaints and appeals procedure	7
Safeguarding & child protection policy	8
Data protection policy	8
Access arrangements policy	8
Exams officer	8
Senior leaders (SLT)	9
Special educational needs co-ordinator (SENCo)	9
Head of department (HoD)	9
Teaching staff.....	9
Invigilators	10
Reception staff.....	10
Site staff.....	10
Candidates	10
The exam cycle	10
Planning: roles and responsibilities	10
Information Sharing	10
Information Gathering	11
Access arrangements	11
Word processor policy for exams	12
Internal assessment and endorsements	12
Controlled Assessment Policy	12
Non-Examination Assessment Policy.....	12
Invigilation.....	13
Entries: Roles and Responsibilities	14
Estimated entries	14
Estimated Entries Collection and Submission Procedure	14
Final entries	14
Final Entries Collection and Submission Procedure.....	15
Entry Fees	15
Late Entries.....	15
Re-sit Entries.....	15
Private Candidates.....	15
Candidate Statements of Entry	16
Pre-exams: Roles and Responsibilities	16

Access arrangements	16
Briefing Candidates	16
Access to scripts, enquiries about results and appeals procedures	17
Dispatch of Exam Scripts.....	17
Estimated Grades	17
Internal Assessment and Endorsements	17
Invigilation	18
JCQ Inspection Visit	19
Seating and Identifying Candidates in Exam Rooms.....	19
Verifying candidate identity procedure	19
Security of Exam Materials	19
Timetabling and Rooming.....	20
Alternative Site Arrangements.....	20
Transferred Candidate Arrangements	20
Internal Exams	20
Exam Time: Roles and Responsibilities	21
Access Arrangements.....	21
Candidate absence policy	21
Candidate behaviour.....	21
Candidate belongings	22
Candidate Late Arrival	22
Candidate Late Arrival Policy.....	22
Conducting exams.....	22
Dispatch of Exam Scripts	22
Exam Papers and Materials	23
Exam Rooms.....	23
Food and Drink in Exam Rooms	23
Emergency evacuation policy.....	24
Irregularities.....	24
Malpractice.....	25
Special Consideration.....	25
Special Consideration Policy	25
Arrangements For Unauthorised Materials Taken Into The Exam Room.....	25
Internal Exams	25
Results and Post-Results: Roles And Responsibilities	26
Internal assessment.....	26
Managing Results Day(s)	26
Results Day Programme.....	26
Accessing Results.....	26
Post-Results Services	27
Analysis of Results.....	27

Certificates	27
Issue of Certificates Procedure	28
Retention of Certificates Policy	28
Appendix 1	29
Exam Contingency Plan	29
Appendix 2	37
Internal appeals procedures for exams	37
Appendix 3	44
Disability policy for exams	44
Appendix 4	53
Complaints and appeals procedure for exams	53
Appendix 5	60
Access arrangements policy for exams	60
Appendix 6	64
Word processor policy for exams	64
Appendix 7	69
The criteria Henley in Arden School uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations	69
Appendix 8	70
Controlled assessment policy for exams	70
Appendix 9	74
Non-examination assessment policy for exams	74
Appendix 10	92
Emergency evacuation policy for exams.....	92
Appendix 11	96
Special consideration policy for exams	96
Appendix 12	101
BTEC policy for exams	101

Purpose of the policy

The centre is committed to ensuring that the exams management and administration process is run effectively and efficiently. This exam policy will ensure that:

- ▶ all aspects of the centre's exam process is documented and other relevant exams-related policies, procedures and plans are signposted
- ▶ the workforce is well informed and supported
- ▶ all centre staff involved in the exams process clearly understand their roles and responsibilities
- ▶ all exams and assessments are conducted in accordance with JCQ (Joint Council for Qualifications) and awarding body regulations, guidance and instructions, thus ensuring that
- ▶ exam candidates understand the exams process and what is expected of them.

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure ways of working in the centre are accurately reflected and that exams and assessments are conducted to current JCQ (and awarding body) regulations, instructions and guidance.

This policy will be communicated to all relevant centre staff.

This policy is available on the school website and staff highlighted in the 'Roles and responsibilities overview' are emailed when the policy is updated.

Roles and responsibilities overview

Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including:
 - [General regulations for approved centres](#) (GR)
 - [Instructions for conducting examinations](#) (ICE)
 - [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#) (AA)
 - [Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments](#) (SMEA)
 - [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) (NEA) (and the instructions for conducting controlled assessment)
- ▶ Ensures the centre has appropriate accommodation to support the size of the cohorts being taught
- ▶ Ensures the National Centre Number Register Annual Update (administered on behalf of the JCQ member awarding bodies by OCR) is responded to by the end of October confirming they are both aware of and adhering to the latest version of the JCQ regulations and instructions for conducting examinations and approves the Head of Centre formal declaration
- ▶ Ensures the exams officer (EO) attends appropriate training events offered by awarding bodies, MIS providers and other external providers to enable the exam process to be effectively managed and administered
- ▶ Ensures a named member of staff acts as the Special Educational Needs Co-ordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Ensures centre staff are supported and appropriately trained to undertake key tasks within the exams process
- ▶ Ensures centre staff undertake key tasks within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the EO
- ▶ Ensures *“that a teacher who teaches the subject being examined, or a senior member of teaching staff who has had overall responsibility for the candidates preparation for the examination, is not an invigilator during the timetabled written examination or on-screen test...”* [ICE 6]
- ▶ Ensures security within the examination process is managed according to JCQ and awarding body regulations, guidance and instructions including
 - ▶ the location of the centre's secure storage unit is in an area solely assigned to examinations
 - ▶ the relevant awarding body is immediately informed if the security of question papers or confidential supporting instructions is put at risk
 - ▶ that arrangements are in place to check that the correct question paper packets are opened by authorised members of centre staff
- ▶ Ensures risks to the exam process are assessed and appropriate risk management processes/contingency plans are in place (that allows the HoC to act immediately in the event of an emergency or staff absence)

Exam contingency plan

The school's exam contingency plan can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 1)

- ▶ Ensures required internal appeals procedures are in place

Internal appeals procedures

The school's internal appeal procedure can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 2)

- ▶ Ensures a disability policy for exams showing the centre's compliance with relevant legislation is in place

Disability policy (exams)

The school's disability policy (exams) can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 3)

- ▶ Ensures a *complaints and appeals procedure* covering general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification is in place

Complaints and appeals procedure

The school's complaints and appeals procedure can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 4)

- ▶ Ensures the centre has a child protection/safeguarding policy in place, including Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) clearance, which satisfies current legislative requirements

Safeguarding & child protection policy

The school's safeguarding & child protection policy can be found on the school website .

- ▶ Ensures the centre has a data protection policy in place

Data protection policy

The school's privacy notice covers sharing data with awarding bodies and can be found on the school website. **This is currently being updated.**

- ▶ Ensures the centre has documented processes in place relating to access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Access arrangements policy

The school's access arrangement policy can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 5)

- ▶ Ensures the appropriate steps are taken where a candidate being entered for exams is related to a member of centre staff

If a staff member of Henley in Arden School is either sitting examinations and assessments or teaching and preparing members of their family for examinations and assessments the Exams Officer/Headteacher will inform the awarding bodies, before the associated entries are submitted.

The Headteacher will ensure that during the examination series the member of centre staff is treated as per any other candidate entered for that examination, does not have access to examination materials and does not receive any preferential treatment.

If a candidate is entered for an awarding body's examinations at a centre where a relative is employed, the Headteacher will ensure that during the examination series the candidate's relative does not have unaccompanied access to examination materials. For example, question papers, pre-release materials and answer scripts.

- ▶ Ensures members of centre staff do **not** advise parents/candidates to contact awarding bodies/JCQ directly

Exams officer

- ▶ Understands the contents of annually updated JCQ publications including:
[General regulations for approved centres](#)
[Instructions for conducting examinations](#)

[Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments](#)

[Post-results services](#) (PRS)

- ▶ Is familiar with the contents of annually updated information from awarding bodies on administrative procedures, key tasks, key dates and deadlines
- ▶ Ensures key tasks are undertaken and key dates and deadlines met
- ▶ Recruits, trains and deploys a team of internal/external invigilators and keeps a record of the training provided to invigilators for the required period
- ▶ Ensures awarding bodies are notified before the associated entries are submitted, where a candidate is being taught and prepared for examinations and assessments by a relative or where a relative of exams office staff is being entered for examinations and assessments

Senior leaders (SLT)

- ▶ Are familiar with the contents, refer to and direct relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including:
[General regulations for approved centres](#)
[Instructions for conducting examinations](#)
[Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
[Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments](#)
[Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) (and the instructions for conducting controlled assessment and coursework)

Special educational needs co-ordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Is familiar with the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including:
[Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
- ▶ Leads on the access arrangements and reasonable adjustments process (referred to in this policy as 'access arrangements')
- ▶ If not the qualified access arrangements assessor, works with the person appointed, on all matters relating to assessing candidates and the administration of the assessment process
- ▶ Presents when requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector, evidence of the assessor's qualification

Head of department (HoD)

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exams process (exam cycle) and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and SENCo
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff keep themselves updated with awarding body teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff attend relevant awarding body training and update events

Teaching staff

- ▶ Undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and SENCo
- ▶ Keep updated with awarding body teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications

- ▶ Attend relevant awarding body training and update events

Invigilators

- ▶ Attend training, update, briefing and review sessions as required
- ▶ Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate
- ▶ Sign a confidentiality and security agreement and confirm whether they have any current maladministration/malpractice sanctions applied to them

Reception staff

- ▶ Support the EO in dealing with exam-related deliveries and dispatches with due regard to the security of confidential materials

Site staff

- ▶ Support the EO in relevant matters relating to exam rooms and resources

Candidates

Where applicable in this policy, the term 'candidates' refers to candidates and/or their parents/carers.

The exam cycle

The exams management and administration process that needs to be undertaken for each **exam series** is often referred to as the **exam cycle** and relevant tasks required within this grouped into the following stages:

- ▶ planning
- ▶ entries
- ▶ pre-exams
- ▶ exam time
- ▶ results and post-results

This policy identifies roles and responsibilities of centre staff within this cycle.

Planning: roles and responsibilities

Information Sharing

Head of centre

- ▶ Directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including [GR](#), [ICE](#), [AA](#), [SMEA](#) and [NEA](#) (and the instructions for conducting controlled assessment and coursework)

Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ publications and awarding body documentation relating to the exams process that has been updated

- ▶ Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ information that should be provided to candidates
- ▶ As the centre administrator, approves relevant access rights for centre staff to access awarding body secure extranet sites

Information Gathering

Exams officer

- ▶ Undertakes an annual information gathering exercise in preparation for each new academic year to ensure data about all qualifications being delivered is up to date and correct
- ▶ Collates all information gathered into one central point of reference
- ▶ Researches awarding body guidance to identify administrative processes, key tasks, key dates and deadlines for all relevant qualifications
- ▶ Produces an annual exams plan of key tasks and key dates to ensure all external deadlines can be effectively met; informs key centre staff of internal deadlines
- ▶ Collects information on internal exams to enable preparation for and conduct of internal / mock exams

Head of department

- ▶ Responds (or ensures teaching staff respond) to requests from the EO on information gathering
- ▶ Meets the internal deadline for the return of information
- ▶ Informs the EO of any changes to information in a timely manner minimising the risk of late or other penalty fees being incurred by an awarding body
- ▶ Notes the internal deadlines in the annual exams plan and directs teaching staff to meet these

Access arrangements

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures there is appropriate accommodation for candidates requiring access arrangements in the centre
- ▶ Ensures a written process is in place to not only check the qualification(s) of their assessor(s) but that the assessment process is administered correctly
- ▶ Ensures the SENCo is fully supported in effectively implementing access arrangements and reasonable adjustments once approved

SENCo

- ▶ Assesses candidates (or works with the appointed access arrangements assessor) to identify access arrangements requirements
- ▶ Gathers **evidence** to support the need for access arrangements for a candidate
- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to gather evidence of **normal way of working** of an affected candidate
- ▶ Determines candidate eligibility for arrangements or adjustments that are centre-delegated
- ▶ Gathers signed **data protection notices** from candidates where required

- ▶ Applies for **approval** through *Access arrangements online (AAO)*, where required or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO
- ▶ Keeps relevant paperwork and evidence on file for JCQ inspection purposes
- ▶ Employs good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010
- ▶ Liaises with the EO regarding exam time arrangements for access arrangement candidates
- ▶ Ensures staff appointed to facilitate access arrangements for candidates are appropriately trained and understand the rules of the particular arrangement(s) and keeps a record of the training provided to facilitators for the required period
- ▶ Provides and annually reviews a centre policy on the **use of word processors** in exams and assessments

Word processor policy for exams

The school's word processor policy can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 6)

Senior Leaders, Head of department, Teaching staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo in identifying and implementing appropriate access arrangements
- ▶ Senior leaders provide a statement for inspection purposes which details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations

Internal assessment and endorsements

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures an **internal appeals procedure** relating to internal assessment decisions is in place for a candidate (or parent/carer) to appeal against and request a review of the centre's marking (see Roles and responsibilities overview)
- ▶ Ensures a policy for the **management of controlled assessment** is in place for legacy GCSE qualifications still being delivered which include elements of controlled assessment

Controlled Assessment Policy

The school's controlled assessment policy can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 8)

- ▶ Ensures a **non-examination assessment policy** is in place for new GCE and GCSE qualifications which include components of non-examination assessment (For CCEA GCSE centres this would be a controlled assessment policy)

Non-Examination Assessment Policy

The school's access arrangement policy can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 9)

- ▶ Ensures irregularities are investigated and any cases of suspected malpractice reported to the awarding body, as required

Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure teaching staff have the necessary and appropriate knowledge, understanding, skills, and training to set tasks, conduct task taking, and to assess, mark and authenticate candidates' work
- ▶ Ensure appropriate internal moderation, standardisation and verification processes are in place

Head of department

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff delivering legacy GCSE qualifications (which contain elements of controlled assessment) follow JCQ [Instructions for conducting controlled assessments](#) and the specification provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff delivering new GCSE specifications (which include components of non-examination assessment) follow JCQ [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) and the specification provided by the awarding body
- ▶ For other qualifications, ensures teaching staff follow appropriate instructions issued by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff inform candidates of their centre assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

Teaching staff

- ▶ Ensure appropriate instructions for conducting internal assessment are followed
- ▶ Ensure candidates are aware of JCQ and awarding body information for candidates on producing work that is internally assessed (controlled assessments, non-examination assessments, social media) prior to assessments taking place
- ▶ Ensure candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

Exams officer

- ▶ Identifies relevant key dates and administrative processes that need to be followed in relation to internal assessment
- ▶ Signposts teaching staff to relevant JCQ *information for candidates* documents that are annually updated

Invigilation

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures relevant support is provided to the EO in recruiting, training and deploying a team of invigilators
- ▶ Ensures, if contracting supply staff to act as invigilators or to facilitate an access arrangement, that such persons are competent and fully trained, understanding what is and what is not permissible

- ▶ Determines if additional invigilators will be deployed in timed Art exams in addition to the subject teacher

Exams officer

- ▶ Recruits additional invigilators where required to effectively cover all exam periods/series' throughout the academic year
- ▶ Collects information on new recruits to identify if they have invigilated previously and if any current maladministration/malpractice sanctions are applied to them
- ▶ Provides an annual training event for new invigilators and an update event for invigilators on the conduct of exams
- ▶ Ensures invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates understand their role (and the role of a facilitator who may be supporting a candidate) and the rules and regulations of the access arrangement(s)
- ▶ Ensures invigilators are made aware of the Equality Act 2010 and are trained in disability issues

Entries: Roles and Responsibilities

Estimated entries

Exams officer

- ▶ Requests estimated or early entry information, where this may be required by awarding bodies, from HoDs in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met

Estimated Entries Collection and Submission Procedure

For new subjects, new specifications and subjects requiring pre-release materials, the EO will ask HoDs for estimated entries.

The EO will upload estimated entries on Awarding Body secure websites.

Head of department

- ▶ Provides information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- ▶ Informs the EO immediately of any subsequent changes to information

Final entries

Exams officer

- ▶ Requests final entry information from HoDs in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met
- ▶ Informs HoDs of subsequent deadlines for making changes to final entry information without charge
- ▶ Confirms with HoDs final entry information that has been submitted to awarding bodies

- ▶ Ensures as far as possible that entry processes minimise the risk of entries or registrations being missed reducing the potential for late or other penalty fees being charged by awarding bodies

Final Entries Collection and Submission Procedure

HoDs are given SIMS marksheets to complete in January. Once entries are made in SIMS, these are forwarded to HoDs for checking. HoDs sign a copy of the completed entries to confirm that the entries are correct. The candidates are then given a statement of entry to check too.

Head of department

- ▶ Provides information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- ▶ Informs the EO immediately, or at the very least prior to the deadlines, of any subsequent changes to final entry information, which includes
 - ▶ amendments to existing entries
 - ▶ withdrawals of existing entries
- ▶ Checks final entry submission information provided by the EO and confirms information is correct

Entry Fees

Entry fees are paid for out of the exams budget.

Late Entries

Exams officer

- ▶ Has clear entry procedures in place to minimise the risk of late entries
- ▶ May charge any late or other penalty fees to departmental budgets

Head of department

- ▶ Minimises the risk of late entries by
 - ▶ following procedures identified by the EO in relation to making final entries on time
 - ▶ meeting internal deadlines identified by the EO for making final entries

Re-sit Entries

Re-sit entries for vocational qualifications need to be arranged between the HoD and EO.

Private Candidates

Henley in Arden school does not accept private candidates.

Candidate Statements of Entry

Exams officer

- ▶ Provides candidates with statements of entry for checking

Teaching staff

- ▶ Ensure candidates check statements of entry and return any relevant confirmation required to the EO

Candidates

- ▶ Confirm entry information is correct or notify the EO of any discrepancies

Pre-exams: Roles and Responsibilities

Access arrangements

SENCo

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for candidates where they are disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act (unless a temporary emergency arrangement is required at the time of an exam)
- ▶ Ensures a candidate is involved in any decisions about arrangements, adjustments and /or adaptations that may be put in place for him/her
- ▶ Ensures exam information (JCQ information for candidates information, individual exam timetable etc.) is adapted where this may be required for a disabled candidate to access it
- ▶ Allocates appropriately trained centre staff to facilitate access arrangements for candidates in exams and assessments (ensuring that the facilitator appointed meets JCQ requirements and fully understands the rule of the particular access arrangement)
- ▶ Where relevant, ensures the necessary and appropriate steps are undertaken to gather an appropriate picture of need and demonstrate normal way of working for a private candidate (including distance learners and home educated candidates) and that the candidate is assessed by the centre's appointed assessor

Briefing Candidates

Exams officer

- ▶ Issues individual exam timetable information to candidates
- ▶ Prior to exams issues relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- ▶ Where relevant, issues relevant awarding body information to candidates
- ▶ Issues centre exam information to candidates including information on:
 - ▶ exam clashes
 - ▶ arriving late for an exam
 - ▶ absence or illness during exams

- ▶ what equipment is/is not provided by the centre
- ▶ food and drink in exam rooms
- ▶ wrist watches in exam rooms
- ▶ when and how results will be issued and the staff that will be available
- ▶ the post-results services and how the centre deals with requests from candidates
- ▶ when and how certificates will be issued

Access to scripts, enquiries about results and appeals procedures

All candidates are informed about EARs, ATS and appeals during an assembly and also through their candidate handbook.

Candidates also have an information sheet, which includes fees, along with their examination results.

Dispatch of Exam Scripts

Exams officer

- Identifies and confirms arrangements for the dispatch of candidate exam scripts with the DfE 'yellow label service' or the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of the service

Estimated Grades

Head of department

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff provide estimated grade information to the EO by the internal deadline (where this still may be required by the awarding body)

Exams officer

- ▶ Submits estimated grade information to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline (where this may still be required by the awarding body)
- ▶ Keeps a record to track what has been sent

Internal Assessment and Endorsements

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures procedures are in place for candidates to appeal internal assessment decisions and make requests for reviews of marking

SENCo

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements

Teaching staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements
- ▶ Assess and authenticate candidates' work
- ▶ Assess endorsed components
- ▶ Ensure candidates are informed of centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to awarding bodies

Head of department

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff assess and authenticate candidates' work to the awarding body requirements
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff assess endorsed components according to awarding body requirements
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff provide marks for internally assessed components and grades for endorsements of qualifications to the EO to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff provide required samples of work for moderation and sample recordings for monitoring to the EO to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- ▶ Submits marks, endorsement grades and samples to awarding bodies/moderators/monitors to meet the external deadline
- ▶ Keeps a record to track what has been sent
- ▶ Logs moderated samples returned to the centre
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff are aware of the requirements in terms of retention and subsequent disposal of candidates' work

Candidates

- ▶ Authenticate their work as required by the awarding body

Invigilation

Exams officer

- ▶ Provides an invigilation handbook and trains/updates invigilators annually
- ▶ Deploys invigilators effectively to exam rooms throughout an exam series (including the provision of a roving invigilator to regularly enter and observe the rooms where a candidate and invigilator [acting as a practical assistant, reader or scribe] are accommodated on a 1:1 basis)
- ▶ Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to a timetable variation) according to the required ratios
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo regarding the facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

SENCo

- ▶ Liaises with the EO regarding facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

Invigilators

- ▶ Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate throughout an exam series

JCQ Inspection Visit

Exams officer or Senior leader

- ▶ Will accompany the Inspector throughout the visit

Seating and Identifying Candidates in Exam Rooms

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures a procedure is in place to verify candidate identity including private candidates

Verifying candidate identity procedure

SLT are present at the start of the exam to help confirm identity. There is also a folder in each exam room containing a set of photographs of the candidates.

- ▶ Ensures invigilators are aware of the procedure
- ▶ Provides seating plans for exam rooms according to JCQ and awarding body requirements

Invigilators

- ▶ Follow the procedure for verifying candidate identity provided by the EO
- ▶ Seat candidates in exam rooms as instructed by the EO/on the seating plan

Security of Exam Materials

Exams officer

- ▶ Has a process in place to record confidential materials delivered to the centre and issued to authorised staff
- ▶ Has in place a recording system to track confidential materials taken from or returned to secure storage throughout the time the material is confidential
- ▶ Receives, checks and securely stores question papers and other exam materials according to JCQ and awarding body requirements

Reception staff

- ▶ Follow the process to record confidential materials delivered to the centre and issued to authorised staff

Teaching staff

- ▶ Adhere to the recording system to track confidential materials taken from or returned to secure storage throughout the time the material is confidential

Timetabling and Rooming

Exams officer

- ▶ Produces a master centre exam timetable for each exam series
- ▶ Identifies and resolves candidate exam clashes (only applying overnight supervision arrangements in rare and exceptional circumstances and as a last resort)
- ▶ Identifies exam rooms and specialist equipment requirements
- ▶ Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to a timetable variation) according to required ratios
- ▶ Liaises with site staff to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates

SEnCo

- ▶ Liaises with the EO regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates
- ▶ Liaises with other relevant centre staff to ensure appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

Site staff

- ▶ Liaise with the EO to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements

Alternative Site Arrangements

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures question papers will only be taken to an alternative site where the published criteria for an alternative site arrangement has been met
- ▶ Will inform the JCQ Centre Inspection Service using the JCQ *Alternative Site* form of any alternative sites that will be used to conduct timetabled examination components of the qualifications listed in the JCQ regulations

Transferred Candidate Arrangements

Exams officer

- ▶ Liaises with the host or entering centre, as required
- ▶ Processes requests to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Where relevant (for an internal candidate) informs the candidate of the arrangements that have been made for their transferred candidate arrangements

Internal Exams

Exams officer

- ▶ Prepares for the conduct of internal exams under external conditions
- ▶ Provides a centre exam timetable of subjects and rooms

- ▶ Provides seating plans for exam rooms
- ▶ Requests internal exam papers from teaching staff
- ▶ Arranges invigilation

SENCo

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to make appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

Teaching staff

- ▶ Provide exam papers and materials to the EO
- ▶ Support the SENCo in making appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

Exam Time: Roles and Responsibilities

Access Arrangements

Exams officer

- ▶ Provides cover sheets for access arrangement candidates' scripts where required for particular arrangements
- ▶ Has a process in place to deal with emergency access arrangements as they arise at the time of exams
 - ▶ applies for approval through AAO where required or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO

Candidate absence policy

Each exam room takes a register at the start of each exam. A member of the exams team visits each room checking for candidate absences.

Reception telephones home to find out why the candidate is absent and find out if support is needed to get the candidate into school.

The Exams Officer is kept informed of the situation.

Invigilators

- ▶ Are informed of the policy/process for dealing with absent candidates through training
- ▶ Ensure that confirmed absent candidates are clearly marked as such on the attendance register

Candidates

- ▶ Are re-charged relevant entry fees for unauthorised absence from exams

Candidate behaviour

See *Irregularities* below.

Candidate belongings

See Unauthorised materials below.

Candidate Late Arrival

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures that candidates who arrive very late for an exam are reported to the awarding body as soon as practically possible after the exam has taken place
- ▶ Warns candidates that their work may not be accepted by the awarding body

Invigilators

- ▶ Are informed of the policy/process for dealing with late/very late arrival candidates through training
- ▶ Ensure that relevant information is recorded on the exam room incident log

Candidate Late Arrival Policy

If a candidate arrives at school within an hour of the published start time (and the exam is still in progress) the candidate will be permitted to sit the exam and take the full time allowed where possible.

If a candidate arrives an hour or more after the published start time of the exam (and the exam is still in progress) the candidate will be advised that it is unlikely that the awarding body will accept the paper if they sit the exam. The candidate is given the option to sit the paper.

If a candidate arrives after the exam has finished, they will not be permitted to sit the exam.

Conducting exams

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures venues used for conducting exams meet the requirements of JCQ and awarding bodies

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures exams are conducted according to JCQ and awarding body instructions
- ▶ Uses an *exam day checklist* to ensure each exam session is fully prepared for, unplanned events can be dealt with and associated follow-up is completed

Dispatch of Exam Scripts

Exams officer

- ▶ Dispatches scripts as instructed by JCQ and awarding bodies

- ▶ Keeps appropriate records to track dispatch

Exam Papers and Materials

Exams officer

- ▶ Organises exam question papers and associated confidential resources in date order in secure storage
- ▶ Attaches erratum notices received to relevant exam question paper packets
- ▶ Collates attendance registers and examiner details in subject order
- ▶ Regularly checks mail or inbox for updates from awarding bodies
- ▶ In order to avoid potential breaches of security, ensures prior to question paper packets being opened that another member of staff or an invigilator checks the time, date and paper details
- ▶ Where allowed by the awarding body, only releases exam papers and materials to teaching departments for teaching and learning purposes after the published finishing time of the exam, or until any clash candidates have completed the exam

Exam Rooms

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures that prior to exams commencing, revision or coaching sessions for candidates will not be held in the designated exam room(s)
- ▶ Ensures only authorised centre staff are present in exam rooms
- ▶ Ensures information relating to food and drink that may be allowed in exam rooms is clearly communicated to candidates

Food and Drink in Exam Rooms

Food is not permitted in the exam room. Water (not squash or other drinks) is allowed in a clear bottle with the label removed.

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures exam rooms are set up and conducted as required in the regulations
- ▶ Provides invigilators with appropriate resources to effectively conduct exams
- ▶ Briefs invigilators on exams to be conducted on a session by session basis (including the arrangements in place for any transferred candidates)
- ▶ Ensures sole invigilators have an appropriate means of summoning assistance
- ▶ Ensures invigilators understand how to deal with candidates who may need to leave the exam room temporarily
- ▶ Provides authorised exam materials which candidates are not expected to provide themselves
- ▶ Ensures invigilators and candidates are aware of the emergency evacuation procedure
- ▶ Ensures invigilators are aware of arrangements in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated

Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure a documented emergency evacuation procedure for exam rooms is in place
- ▶ Ensure arrangements are in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated

Emergency evacuation policy

The school's emergency evacuation procedure can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 10)

Site staff

- ▶ Ensure exam rooms are available and set up as requested by the EO
- ▶ Ensure grounds or centre maintenance work does not disturb exam candidates in exam rooms
- ▶ Ensure fire alarm testing does not take place during exam sessions

Invigilators

- ▶ Conduct exams in every exam room as instructed in training/update events and briefing sessions

Candidates

- ▶ Are required to remain in the exam room for the full duration of the exam

Irregularities

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures any cases of alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration before, during or after examinations/assessments (by centre staff, candidates, invigilators) are investigated and reported to the awarding body **immediately**, by completing the appropriate documentation

Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure support is provided for the EO and invigilators when dealing with disruptive candidates in exam rooms
- ▶ Ensure that internal disciplinary procedures relating to candidate behaviour are instigated, when appropriate

Exams officer

- ▶ Provides an exam room incident log in all exam rooms for recording any incidents or irregularities
- ▶ Actions any required follow-up and reports to awarding bodies as soon as practically possible after the exam has taken place

Invigilators

- ▶ Record any incidents or irregularities on the exam room incident log (for example, late/very late arrival, candidate or centre staff suspected malpractice, candidate illness, disruption or disturbance in the exam room, emergency evacuation)

Malpractice

See *Irregularities* above.

Special Consideration

Exams officer

- ▶ Processes appropriate requests for special consideration to awarding bodies
- ▶ Gathers evidence which may need to be provided by other staff in centre or candidates
- ▶ Submits requests to awarding bodies to the external deadline

Special Consideration Policy

The school's special consideration policy can be found at the end of this policy (Appendix 11)

Candidates

- ▶ Provide appropriate evidence to support special consideration requests, where required

Arrangements For Unauthorised Materials Taken Into The Exam Room

Candidates have an A5 envelope on the exam desk with their name on it. All unauthorised items are to be placed in the envelope which is collected in before the exams starts and returned once all exam scripts have been collected at the end of the exam.

Invigilators

- ▶ Are informed of the arrangements through training

Internal Exams

Exams officer

- ▶ Briefs invigilators on conducting internal exams
- ▶ Returns candidate scripts to teaching staff for marking

Invigilators

- ▶ Conduct internal exams as briefed by the EO

Results and Post-Results: Roles And Responsibilities

Internal assessment

Head of department

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff keep candidates' work, whether part of the moderation sample or not, secure and for the required period stated by JCQ and awarding bodies
- ▶ Ensures work is returned to candidates or disposed of according to the requirements

Managing Results Day(s)

Senior leaders

- ▶ Identify centre staff who will be involved in the main summer results day(s) and their role
- ▶ Ensures senior members of staff are accessible to candidates after the publication of results so that results may be discussed and decisions made on the submission of enquiries and ensures candidates are informed of the periods during which centre staff will be available so that they may plan accordingly

Exams officer

- ▶ Works with senior leaders to ensure procedures for managing the main summer results day(s) (a results day programme) are in place

Results Day Programme

Exam results will be available between 10am and 12 noon on Thursday 23rd August 2018.

Members of SLT and some subject teachers will be available for candidates to talk to about possible enquiries.

Candidates will receive information about EARs, deadlines and fees with their results.

Site staff

- ▶ Ensure the centre is open and accessible to centre staff and candidates, as required

Accessing Results

Exams officer

- ▶ Informs candidates in advance of when and how results will be released to them
- ▶ Accesses results from awarding bodies under restricted release of results, where this is provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Resolves any missing or incomplete results with awarding bodies
- ▶ Issues statements of results to candidates on issue of results date

- ▶ Provides summaries of results for relevant centre staff on issue of results date

Post-Results Services

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures an **internal appeals procedure** is available where candidates disagree with any centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- ▶ Understands that in the event of an awarding body initiating an *extended review of marking*, candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered, confirmed or raised

Exams officer

- ▶ Provides information to candidates and staff on the services provided by awarding bodies and the fees charged (see also above *Briefing candidates* and *Access to scripts, enquiries about results and appeals procedures*)
- ▶ Publishes internal deadlines for requesting the services to ensure the external deadlines can be effectively met
- ▶ Provides a process to record requests for services and collect candidate informed consent (**after** the publication of results) and fees where relevant
- ▶ Submits requests to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline
- ▶ Tracks requests to conclusion and informs candidates and relevant centre staff of outcomes
- ▶ Updates centre results information, where applicable

Teaching staff

- ▶ Meet internal deadlines to request the services and gain relevant candidate informed consent
- ▶ Identify the budget to which fees should be charged

Candidates

- ▶ Meet internal deadlines to request the services
- ▶ Provide informed consent and fees, where relevant

Analysis of Results

Henley in Arden school

- ▶ Provides analysis of results to appropriate centre staff
- ▶ Provides results information to external organisations where required
- ▶ Undertakes the *secondary school and college (key stage 4/16-18) performance tables September checking exercise*

Certificates

Certificates are provided to centres by awarding bodies after results have been confirmed.

Issue of Certificates Procedure

Candidates are invited into school late November / early December to collect results. Candidates must sign for their certificates.

Candidates

- ▶ May arrange for certificates to be collected on their behalf by providing the EO with written or email permission/authorisation; authorised persons must provide ID evidence on collection of certificates

Retention of Certificates Policy

Uncollected certificates are retained by the centre for three years.

Appendix 1

Exam Contingency Plan

Purpose of the plan

This plan examines potential risks and issues that could cause disruption to the exams process at the Henley in Arden School. By outlining actions/procedures to be invoked in case of disruption it is intended to mitigate the impact these disruptions have on our exam process.

Alongside internal processes, this plan is informed by the *Exam system contingency plan: England, Wales and Northern Ireland* which provides guidance in the publication *What schools and colleges and other centres should do if exams or other assessments are seriously disrupted*.

This plan also confirms Henley in Arden School is compliant with the JCQ regulation (section 5.3, *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2017-2018*) that the centre has in place a *written examination contingency plan which covers all aspects of examination administration*. This will allow members of the senior leadership team to act immediately in the event of an emergency or staff absence.

Possible causes of disruption to the exam process

1. Exam officer extended absence at key points in the exam process (cycle)

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks required in the management and administration of the exam cycle not undertaken including:

Planning

- ▶ annual data collection exercise not undertaken to collate information on qualifications and awarding body specifications being delivered
- ▶ annual exams plan not produced identifying essential key tasks, key dates and deadlines
- ▶ sufficient invigilators not recruited

Entries

- ▶ awarding bodies not being informed of early/estimated entries which prompts release of early information required by teaching staff
- ▶ candidates not being entered with awarding bodies for external exams/assessment
- ▶ awarding body entry deadlines missed or late or other penalty fees being incurred

Pre-exams

- ▶ invigilators not trained or updated on changes to instructions for conducting exams
- ▶ exam timetabling, rooming allocation; and invigilation schedules not prepared
- ▶ candidates not briefed on exam timetables and awarding body information for candidates
- ▶ exam/assessment materials and candidates' work not stored under required secure conditions
- ▶ internal assessment marks and samples of candidates' work not submitted to awarding bodies/external moderators

Exam time

- ▶ exams/assessments not taken under the conditions prescribed by awarding bodies
- ▶ required reports/requests not submitted to awarding bodies during exam/assessment periods, for example very late arrival, suspected malpractice, special consideration
- ▶ candidates' scripts not dispatched as required for marking to awarding bodies

Results and post-results

- ▶ access to examination results affecting the distribution of results to candidates
- ▶ the facilitation of the post-results services

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Line Manager (Deputy Head) to liaise with Exams Assistant over entries.
- ▶ Line manager (Deputy Head), HOYs and Exams Assistant to liaise over pre-exam administration.
- ▶ Senior Invigilator to liaise with Line Manager (Deputy Head) regarding exam time issues and results.

2. SENCo extended absence at key points in the exam cycle

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks required in the management and administration of the access arrangements process within the exam cycle not undertaken including:

Planning

- ▶ candidates not tested/assessed to identify potential access arrangement requirements
- ▶ centre fails to recognise its duties towards disabled candidates as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010
- ▶ evidence of need and evidence to support normal way of working not collated

Pre-exams

- ▶ approval for access arrangements not applied for to the awarding body
- ▶ centre-delegated arrangements not put in place
- ▶ modified paper requirements not identified in a timely manner to enable ordering to meet external deadline
- ▶ staff (facilitators) providing support to access arrangement candidates not allocated and trained

Exam time

- ▶ access arrangement candidate support not arranged for exam rooms

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Assistant to SENCo to liaise with Exams Officer during SENCo absence

3. Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks not undertaken including:

Early/estimated entry information not provided to the exams officer on time; resulting in pre-release information not being received

Final entry information not provided to the exams officer on time; resulting in candidates not being entered for exams/assessments or being entered late/late or other penalty fees being charged by awarding bodies

Non-examination assessment tasks not set/issued/taken by candidates as scheduled

Candidates not being informed of centre assessed marks before marks are submitted to the awarding body and therefore not being able to consider appealing internal assessment decisions and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Internal assessment marks and candidates' work not provided to meet awarding body submission deadlines

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Line Manager and Exams Officer to liaise with remaining teaching staff.
- ▶ If necessary employ a specialist on a short term contract

4. Invigilators - lack of appropriately trained invigilators or invigilator absence

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Failure to recruit and train sufficient invigilators to conduct exams

Invigilator shortage on peak exam days

Invigilator absence on the day of an exam

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ The school has a team of fully trained external invigilators.
- ▶ All Teaching Assistants are also fully trained on invigilation and access arrangements so that they can be called on if necessary

5. Exam rooms - lack of appropriate rooms or main venues unavailable at short notice

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Exams officer unable to identify sufficient/appropriate rooms during exams timetable planning

Insufficient rooms available on peak exam days

Main exam venues unavailable due to an unexpected incident at exam time

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ In an emergency the sports hall could be utilised for examination purposes
- ▶ Classes could be re-roomed to accommodate examinations

6. Failure of IT systems

Criteria for implementation of the plan

MIS system failure at final entry deadline

MIS system failure during exams preparation

MIS system failure at results release time

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ If it is not possible to make final entries via SIMS, the EO will make entries via the Awarding Body secure websites. (Later making a dummy entry in SIMS)
- ▶ All examination preparation to be done in advance of exams to minimise disruption of potential issues
- ▶ If it is not possible to get results into SIMS, all candidates will get the Awarding Body *Statement of Results* slips. If there is a complete failure of all IT, the EO will contact local network schools to request support (i.e. go to a local school to print from AB secure websites).
- ▶ The EO and IT Manager to liaise with ABs as to appropriate action.

7. Disruption of teaching time – centre closed for an extended period

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre closed or candidates are unable to attend for an extended period during normal teaching or study supported time, interrupting the provision of normal teaching and learning

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Headteacher, Governors and SLT to take necessary action.
- ▶ Contact local schools and colleges to request support.

8. Candidates unable to take examinations because of a crisis – centre remains open

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Candidates are unable to attend the examination centre to take examinations as normal

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Consideration would be given on an individual basis as to why they were unable to attend the exam. The AB would be contacted and special consideration applied for.

9. Centre unable to open as normal during the exams period

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre unable to open as normal for scheduled examinations (including centre being unavailable for examinations owing to an unforeseen emergency)

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ EO and Headteacher to explore alternative local venues. EO to inform Awarding Bodies & JCQ.

10. Disruption to the transportation of completed examination scripts

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Delay in normal collection arrangements for completed examination scripts

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Scripts remain in the exams office secure storage until either collected by Parcelforce or taken to the Post Office by the EO.

11. Assessment evidence is not available to be marked

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Large scale damage to or destruction of completed examination scripts/assessment evidence before it can be marked

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ HoDs advised to regularly check progress of controlled assessment and NEA work.
- ▶ Exam scripts are kept secure in the care of an invigilator until handed to the EO.
- ▶ EO would contact Awarding Body for further advice.

12. Centre unable to distribute results as normal

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre is unable to access or manage the distribution of results to candidates, or to facilitate post-results services

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ In the event that the centre is inaccessible, local schools would be contacted and the request made to use their facilities to print off results information.
- ▶ If the centre is inaccessible to pupils on results day, the EO and Headteacher would attempt to hire a local venue for the distribution of results.
- ▶ If possible texts would be sent to pupils.
- ▶ Notices would be placed around the entrances (or as close as possible) to the school grounds.

Further guidance to inform and implement contingency planning

Ofqual

What schools and colleges and other centres should do if exams or other assessments are seriously disrupted

1. Contingency planning

You should prepare for possible disruption to exams and other assessments as part of your emergency planning and make sure your staff are aware of these plans...

2. Disruption to assessments or exams

In the absence of any instruction from the relevant awarding organisation, the school or college should assume that any exam or timetabled assessment should take place if it is possible for it to do so. This may mean having to locate alternative premises.

If the exam or assessment cannot take place, or if a student misses an exam or loses their assessment due to an emergency or other event outside the control of the school or college, you should discuss alternative arrangements with your awarding organisation.

2.1 The school or college should consider the following steps

Exam planning

1. Review your contingency plan well in advance of each exam series.
2. Ensure that copies of question papers are received and stored under secure conditions.

In the event of disruption

1. Contact the relevant awarding organisation and follow its instructions.
2. Take advice, or follow instructions, from relevant local or national agencies in deciding whether the school or college is able to open.
3. Identify whether the exam can be sat at an alternative venue, in agreement with the relevant awarding organisation.
4. Where accommodation is limited, prioritise students whose progression will be severely delayed if they do not take their exams when planned.
5. Communicate with parents, carers and students regarding any changes to the exam timetable.
6. Advise students, where appropriate, to sit exams in the next available series.

After the exam

1. Consider whether students may be eligible for special consideration.
2. Ensure that scripts are stored under secure conditions.

3. Return scripts to awarding organisations in line with their instructions. Never make alternative arrangements for the transportation of completed exam scripts, unless told to do so by the awarding organisation.

2.2 The awarding organisation should take the following steps

Exam planning

1. Establish, maintain and at all times comply with an up to date written contingency plan.
2. Ensure that the arrangements that are in place with schools and colleges enable them to deliver and award qualifications in accordance with its conditions of recognition.

In the event of disruption

1. Take all reasonable steps to mitigate any negative effect, in relation to its qualifications, arising from any disruption.
2. Provide effective guidance to any of its centres responsible for delivering qualifications on its behalf.
3. Ensure that where an assessment is required to be completed under specified conditions, students complete the assessment under those conditions (other than where any reasonable adjustments or special considerations require alternative conditions).
4. Promptly notify the relevant regulators about any event which could have a negative effect on students, standards or public confidence.

After the exam

Consider any requests for special consideration for affected students. For example, those who may have lost their internally assessed work or whose performance in assessments or exams could have been affected by the disruption.

2.3 When a student misses an exam or is disadvantaged by the disruption

If you feel that the performance of all or some of your students has been negatively affected by the disruption you should ask your awarding organisation about applying for special consideration. The decision about what special consideration is, or is not appropriate, is for awarding organisations to make. Their decisions might be different, for different qualifications and for different subjects. However, we encourage awarding organisations to adopt a consistent approach, including between learners, schools or colleges, and awarding organisations, when dealing with a number of similar cases.

[Ofqual guidance extract taken directly from the **Exam system contingency plan: England, Wales and Northern Ireland - What schools and colleges and other centres should do if exams or other assessments are seriously disrupted**, sections 1 and 2 <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/exam-system-contingency-plan-england-wales-and-northern-ireland/what-schools-and-colleges-should-do-if-exams-or-other-assessments-are-seriously-disrupted>]

JCQ

Contingency planning

The qualifications regulators, JCQ and government departments responsible for education have prepared and agreed information for schools and colleges in the event of examinations being seriously disrupted. This jointly agreed information will ensure consistency of response in the event of major disruption to the examinations system affecting significant numbers of candidates.

In addition, awarding bodies have their own well-established contingency plans in place to respond to disruptions. It is important that exams officers who are facing disruption liaise directly with the relevant awarding body/bodies.

Centres should prepare plans for any disruption to examinations as part of their general emergency planning. It is important to ensure that relevant centre staff are familiar with the plan. Consideration should be given as to how these arrangements will be communicated to candidates, parents and staff should disruption to examinations occur.

In the event that the head of centre decides the centre cannot be opened for scheduled examinations, the relevant awarding body must be informed as soon as possible. Awarding bodies will be able to offer advice regarding the alternative arrangements for conducting examinations that may be available and the options for candidates who have not been able to take scheduled examinations.

Further information may be found at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/exam-system-contingency-plan-england-wales-and-northern-ireland/what-schools-and-colleges-should-do-if-exams-or-other-assessments-are-seriously-disrupted>

[JCQ guidance taken directly from JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*
<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/jce---instructions-for-conducting-examinations>, page iv]

General regulations for approved centres

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations>

Guidance on alternative site arrangements

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/forms>

Guidance on transferred candidate arrangements

<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/entries>

Instructions for conducting examinations

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/jce---instructions-for-conducting-examinations>

A guide to the special consideration process

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance>

GOV.UK

Emergency planning and response: Severe weather; Exam disruption

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/emergencies-and-severe-weather-schools-and-early-years-settings>

Teaching time lost due to severe weather conditions

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/teaching-time-lost-due-to-severe-weather-conditions>

Dispatch of exam scripts guide: Ensuring the service runs smoothly; Contingency planning

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/dispatch-of-exam-scripts-yellow-label-service>

Statutory guidance on school closures

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-organisation-maintained-schools>

Appendix 2

Internal appeals procedures for exams

1. Appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

This procedure confirms Henley in Arden School's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2017-2018*, section 5.8 that the centre has in place "a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates" and that the centre "must inform candidates of their centre assessed marks as a candidate is allowed to request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body."

Certain components of GCSE qualifications (GCSE controlled assessments and GCSE non-examination assessments) that contribute to the final grade of the qualification are internally assessed (marked) by the subject teacher. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

Henley in Arden School is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff marks candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Henley in Arden School ensures that all centre staff follow a robust *Non-examination assessment policy* (for the management of GCSE non-examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments, including the marking and quality assurance processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Henley in Arden School is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of his/her work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the mark scheme to his/her marking, then he/she may make use of this appeals procedure to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

1. Henley in Arden School will ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
2. Henley in Arden School will inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (for example, a copy of their marked work, the relevant specification, the mark scheme and any other associated subject-specific documents) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment.
3. Henley in Arden School will, having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate within 5 school days.
4. Henley in Arden School will provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision.

5. Requests for reviews of marking **must** be made by a parent/carer in writing within 5 school days of receiving copies of the requested materials by completing the **internal appeals form**.
6. Henley in Arden School will allow 10 school days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline.
7. Henley in Arden School will ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate and has no personal interest in the review.
8. Henley in Arden School will instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.
9. The candidate will be informed in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.
10. The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre and will be logged as a complaint. A written record will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request. Should the review of the centre's marking bring any irregularity in procedures to light, the awarding body will be informed immediately.

After candidates' work has been internally assessed, it is moderated by the awarding body to ensure consistency in marking between centres. The moderation process may lead to mark changes. This process is outside the control of Henley in Arden School and is not covered by this procedure.

2. Appeals against the centre's decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

This procedure confirms Henley in Arden School's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2017-2018*, section 5.14 that the centre has in place "a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal..."

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services, internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided with results by the Examinations Officer.

Candidates are also informed of the arrangements for post-results services **before** they sit any exams and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff immediately after the publication of results in the candidate handbook.

If the centre or a candidate (or parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, an enquiry about the result may be requested.

Enquiries about results (EARs) offers three services.

- ▶ Service 1 – clerical re-check
- ▶ Service 2 – review of marking
- ▶ Service 3 – review of moderation (this service is not available to an individual candidate)

Written candidate consent is required in all cases before a request for an EAR service 1 or 2 is submitted to the awarding body as with these services candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered. Candidate consent can only be collected **after** the publication of results.

If a concern is raised about a particular examination result, the Examinations Officer and teaching staff will investigate the feasibility of requesting an EAR supported by the school. An EAR initiated by a candidate (or parent/carer) must be paid for before the EAR is submitted to the awarding body.

If the candidate (or parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support an enquiry, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the **internal appeals form** at least 8 calendar days prior to the internal deadline for submitting an EAR.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal before the internal deadline for submitting an EAR.

Following the EAR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications *Post-Results Services* and *JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes)* will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the EAR outcome, but the candidate (or parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the Headteacher. Following this, the Headteacher's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the *JCQ Appeals Booklet*. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within 8 calendar days of the notification of the outcome of the EAR. Subject to the Headteacher's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required **30 calendar days** of receiving the outcome of the enquiry about results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

Internal appeals form	FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
	Date received	
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes on the form below	Reference No.	

- Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking
- Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Name of appellant		Candidate name if different to appellant	
Awarding body		Exam paper code	
Subject		Exam paper title	

Please state the grounds for your appeal below

(If applicable, tick below)

- Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision I wish to request a review of the centre's marking

If necessary continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed

Appellant signature:

Date of signature:

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure

Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures

JCQ

- ▶ General Regulations for Approved Centres
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations>
- ▶ Post-Results Services
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services>
- ▶ JCQ Appeals Booklet
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals>
- ▶ Notice to Centres - Reviews of marking (centre assessed marks)
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/controlled-assessments>
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/coursework>
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments>

Ofqual

- ▶ GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions>
- ▶ GCSE (A* to G) qualification-level conditions and requirements
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-a-to-g-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements>
- ▶ GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements>
- ▶ Pre-reform GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-for-pre-reform-qualifications>

Appendix 3

Disability policy for exams

Purpose of the policy

This document is provided as an exams-specific supplement to the *centre-wide accessibility policy/plan* which details how the centre

“recognise its duties towards disabled candidates as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010†. This must include a duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates...”

†for any legislation in a relevant jurisdiction other than England and Wales which has an equivalent purpose and effect”

[Quote taken directly from section 5.4 of the current JCQ publication [General regulations for approved centres](#)]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as [GR](#).

This policy details how the centre facilitates access to exams and assessments for disabled candidates, as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010, by outlining staff roles and responsibilities in relation to

- ▶ identifying the need for appropriate arrangements, reasonable adjustments and/or adaptations (referred to in this policy as 'access arrangements')
- ▶ requesting access arrangements
- ▶ implementing access arrangements and the conduct of exams
- ▶ good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010

The Equality Act 2010 definition of disability

A definition is provided on page 4 of the current JCQ publication *Adjustments for candidates with disabilities and learning difficulties* [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as [AA](#).

Identifying the need for access arrangements

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Is familiar with the entire contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publications including [GR](#) and [AA](#)
- ▶ Ensure a statement is provided which details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors for exams
- ▶

Senior leaders

- ▶ Are familiar with the entire contents of the annually updated JCQ publications including [GR](#) and [AA](#)

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Has full knowledge and understanding of the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publication [AA](#)
- ▶ Ensures the quality of the access arrangements process within the centre
- ▶ Ensures staff roles, responsibilities and processes in identifying, requesting and implementing access arrangements for candidates are clearly defined and documented
- ▶ Ensures an appropriately qualified assessor(s) is appointed and that evidence of the qualification(s) of the person(s) appointed is held on file
- ▶ Ensures the assessment process is administered in accordance with the regulations
- ▶ Ensures a policy demonstrating the centre's compliance with relevant legislation is in place
- ▶ Ensures that all assessments carried out and arrangements put in place comply with JCQ and awarding body regulations and guidance
- ▶ Ensures arrangements put in place for exams/assessments reflect a candidate's *normal way of working* within the centre
- ▶ Ensures the need for access arrangements for a candidate will be considered on a subject by subject basis
- ▶ Presents when requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector, evidence of the assessor's qualification
- ▶ Works with teaching staff, relevant support staff and the exams officer to ensure centre-delegated and awarding body approved access arrangements are put in place for candidates taking internal and external exams/assessments
- ▶ Provide information to evidence the normal way of working of a candidate
- ▶ Conducts appropriate assessments to identify the need(s) of a candidate
- ▶ Provides appropriate evidence to confirm the need(s) of a candidate
Completes appropriate documentation as required by the regulations of JCQ and the awarding body

Teaching staff

- ▶ Inform the SENCo of any support that might be needed by a candidate
- ▶ Support the SENCo in determining the need for and implementing access arrangements

Support staff (for example, Learning Support Assistants, Teaching Assistants)

- ▶ (where appropriate) Provide comments/observations to support the SENCo in *painting a holistic picture of need* confirming *normal way of working* for a candidate

Assessor of candidates with learning difficulties

(An assessor of candidates with learning difficulties will be an appropriately qualified access arrangements assessor/psychologist/specialist assessor)

- ▶ Has detailed understanding of the current JCQ publication [AA](#)

Use of word processors

The school's word processor policy can be found in Appendix 6 of the Exams Policy.

Requesting access arrangements

Roles and responsibilities

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Determines if the arrangements identified for a candidate require prior approval from the awarding body before the arrangements are put in place or if approval is centre-delegated
- ▶ Follows guidance in [AA](#) Section 8 to process approval applications for access arrangements for those qualifications listed on page 2 of [AA](#)
- ▶ Ensures appropriate and required evidence is held on file to confirm validation responses in AAO including the completion of JCQ Form 8 (*Application for access arrangements – Profile of learning difficulties*), where required, and a body of evidence to substantiate the candidate's normal way of working within the centre
- ▶ Ensures where form 8 is required to be completed, the original form is signed by hand and dated as required **prior** to approval being sought and that the original form is provided for processing and inspection purposes
- ▶ Confirms by ticking the 'Confirmation' box prior to submitting the application for approval that the 'malpractice consequence statement' has been read and accepted
- ▶ Makes an *awarding body referral* through AAO where the initial application for approval may not be approved by AAO, where it is deemed by the centre that the candidate does meet the criteria for the arrangement(s)
- ▶ Ensures that arrangements, and approval where required, are in place before a candidate takes his/her first exam or assessment (which is externally assessed or internally assessed/externally moderated)
- ▶ Ensures that where approval is required that this is applied for by the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff regarding any appropriate modified paper requirements for candidates

Exams officer

- ▶ Is familiar with the entire contents of the annually updated JCQ publication [GR](#) and is aware of information contained in [AA where this may be relevant to the EO role](#)
- ▶ Applies for approval where this is required, through *Access arrangements online* (AAO), or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO
- ▶ Ensures the names of all other assessors, who are assessing candidates studying qualifications as listed on page 2 of [AA](#), are entered into AAO to confirm their status including any professionals working outside the centre
- ▶ Confirms by ticking the 'Confirmation' box prior to submitting the application for approval that the 'malpractice consequence statement' has been read and accepted

- ▶ Maintains a file for each candidate that will include:
 - ▶ completed JCQ/awarding body application forms and evidence forms
 - ▶ appropriate evidence to support the need for the arrangement where required
 - ▶ appropriate evidence to support normal way of working within the centre
 - ▶ in addition, for those qualifications listed on page 2 of [AA](#) (where approval is required), a print out of the AAO approval and a signed data protection notice (which provides candidate consent to their personal details being shared)
- ▶ Presents the files when requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo to ensure arrangements are in place to either order a non-interactive electronic (PDF) question paper or to open question paper packets in the secure room within 90 minutes of the published starting time for the exam where the centre is permitted to modify a timetabled written component exam paper (copy on coloured paper, enlarge to A3 or copy to single sided print)
- ▶ Following the appropriate process (AAO for those qualifications listed on page 74

Implementing access arrangements and the conduct of exams

Roles and responsibilities

External assessments

These are assessments which are normally set and marked/examined by an awarding body which must be conducted according to awarding body instructions and/or the JCQ publication [Instructions for conducting examinations \(ICE\)](#).

Head of centre

- ▶ Supports the SENCo, the exams officer and other relevant centre staff in ensuring appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for candidates where they are disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act (unless a temporary emergency arrangement is required at the time of an exam)
- ▶ Ensures a candidate is involved in any decisions about arrangements, adjustments and/or adaptations that may be put in place for him/her and ensures the candidate understands what will happen at exam time
- ▶ Ensures that prior to any arrangements being put in place checks are made that arrangements do not impact on any assessment criteria/competence standards being tested
- ▶ Ensures that any arrangements put in place do not unfairly disadvantage or advantage disabled candidates
- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer (EO) regarding facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates in exams

- ▶ Appoints appropriate centre staff as facilitators to support candidates (practical assistant, prompter, Oral Language Modifier, reader, scribe or Sign Language Interpreter)
- ▶ Ensures the facilitator is known by or introduced to the candidate prior to exams

Exams officer

- ▶ Is familiar with and follows the *Checklist for heads of centre and examination officers – The Equality Act 2010 and conduct of examinations* provided in the current [ICE \(page 44\)](#)
- ▶ Ensures exam information (JCQ information for candidates documents, individual exam timetable etc.) is adapted where this may be required for a disabled candidate to access it
- ▶ Liaises with other relevant centre staff regarding the provision of appropriate rooming and equipment that may be required to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams
- ▶ Ensures facilitators supporting candidates are appropriately trained and understand the rules of the particular access arrangement(s)
- ▶ Ensures a record of the training given to those facilitating an access arrangement for a candidate under examination conditions is kept and retained on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- ▶ Ensures a facilitator acting as a prompter is aware of the appropriate way to prompt depending on the needs of the candidate
- ▶ Ensures invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates are trained in their role and understand the invigilation arrangements required for access arrangement candidates as detailed in [ICE 7](#) and [8](#)
- ▶ Ensures cover sheets, where these are required by the arrangement are completed as required by facilitators
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo to ensure exam information (JCQ information for candidates documents, individual exam timetable etc.) is adapted where this may be required for a disabled candidate to access it
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo regarding the facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates
- ▶ Ensures appropriate seating arrangements are in place where different arrangements may need to be made for a candidate to facilitate access to his/her exams
- ▶ Ensures invigilators are briefed prior to each exam session of the arrangements in place for a disabled candidate in their exam room
- ▶ Checks in advance of dated exams/assessments that modified paper orders have arrived (and if not will contact the awarding body to ensure that papers are available when required)
- ▶ Makes modifications that are permitted by the centre (a question paper copied onto coloured paper, an A4 to A3 enlarged paper or a paper printed on single sheets or where a question paper may need to be scanned into PDF format where a candidate is approved the use of a computer reader) that may be required and either accesses a non-interactive electronic (PDF) question paper or opens the exam question paper

packet in the secure room no earlier than 90 minutes prior to the published start time of the exam

- ▶ Ensures that the facilitator only has access to the papers 60 minutes prior to the published start time of the exam
- ▶ Provides cover sheets prior to the start of an exam where required for particular access arrangements and ensures that these have been fully completed before candidates' scripts are dispatched to examiners/markers
 - prints pre-populated cover sheets from AAO where this is required for those qualifications listed on page 2 of [AA](#)
- ▶ Has a process in place to deal with emergency (temporary) access arrangements as they arise at the time of exams in terms of rooming and invigilation
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo where a facilitator may be required to support a candidate requiring an emergency (temporary) access arrangement at the time of exams
- ▶ Where required for emergency (temporary) access arrangements, applies for approval through AAO or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO
- ▶

Other relevant centre staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo and the exams officer to ensure appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams
- ▶ Liaises with the EO where a facilitator may be required to support a candidate requiring an emergency (temporary) access arrangement at the time of exams
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo and other relevant centre staff to ensure appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

Internal assessments

These are non-examination assessments (NEA) which are normally set by a centre/awarding body, marked and internally verified by the centre and moderated by the awarding body.

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates
- ▶ Ensures centre-delegated and awarding body approved arrangements are in place prior to a candidate taking his/her first formal supervised assessment
- ▶ Ensures candidates are aware of the access arrangements that are in place for their assessments
- ▶ Ensures facilitators supporting candidates are appropriately trained and understand the rules of the particular access arrangement(s)
- ▶

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures cover sheets are completed as required by facilitators
- ▶ Liaises with the teacher where a facilitator may be required to support a candidate requiring an emergency (temporary) access arrangement at the time of his/her formal supervised assessment



Teaching staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates
- ▶ Provide the SENCo with assessment schedules to ensure arrangements are put in place when required
- ▶ Liaise with the SENCo regarding assessment materials that may need to be modified for a candidate

Internal exams

These are exams or tests which are set and marked within the centre; normally a precursor to external assessments.

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates

Teaching staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates
- ▶ Provide exam materials that may need to be modified for a candidate
- ▶ Provide the SENCo with internal exam timetable to ensure arrangements are put in place when required

Facilitating access - examples

The following information confirms the centre's good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010 and the conduct of examinations.

On a candidate by candidate basis, consideration is given to

- ▶ adapting assessment arrangements
- ▶ adapting assessment materials
- ▶ the provision of specialist equipment or adaptation of standard equipment
- ▶ adaptation of the physical environment for access purposes

The table provides example arrangements, adjustments and adaptations that are considered to meet the need(s) of a candidate and the actions considered/taken by the centre for the purposes of facilitating access.

Example of candidate need(s)	Arrangements explored	Centre actions
Persistent and significant difficulties in accessing written text	Reader 25% Extra time Separate invigilation within the centre	<i>Confirms candidate is disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act 2010</i> <i>Papers checked for those testing reading</i> <i>Original Form 8, signed by hand and dated, with Sections A, B and C completed; kept on file with body of supporting evidence, printed approval from AAO and signed data protection notice</i>

<p>Significant difficulty in concentrating</p>	<p>Prompter Separate invigilation within the centre</p>	<p><i>Gathers evidence to support substantial and long term adverse impairment</i></p> <p><i>Confirms with candidate how and when they will be prompted</i></p> <p><i>Briefs invigilator to monitor candidate and the method of prompting (call out his name to bring his attention back to the paper - confirms requirement for separate room)</i></p>
<p>A wheelchair user</p>	<p>Desk Rooms Facilities Seating arrangements Practical assistant</p>	<p><i>Applies for practical assistant to help candidate set up wheelchair and other equipment in a practical assessment; approval automatically fails so awarding body referral lists the tasks that will be performed</i></p> <p><i>Provides height adjustable desk in exam room</i></p> <p><i>Allocates exam room on ground floor near adapted bathroom facilities</i></p> <p><i>Spaces desks to allow wheelchair access</i></p> <p><i>Seats candidate near exam room door</i></p> <p><i>Confirms arrangements in place to assist the candidate in case of emergency evacuation of the exam room</i></p> <p><i>Practical assistant cover sheet printed from AAO; to be completed by facilitator and inserted inside the candidate's work where this may be applicable to the assessment</i></p>

Appendix 4

Complaints and appeals procedure for exams

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms Henley in Arden school's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2017-2018, section 5.7* that the centre has in place "...a written complaints and appeals procedure which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification."

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- ▶ Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - ▶ Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - ▶ Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - ▶ Core content not adequately covered
 - ▶ Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- ▶ Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- ▶ The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- ▶ The marking of an internal assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not undertaken according to the requirements of the awarding body (complainant should refer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- ▶ Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*
- ▶ Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- ▶ Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- ▶ Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks

Access arrangements

- ▶ Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- ▶ Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- ▶ Candidate did not consent to personal data being shared electronically (by the non-acquisition of a signed Data Protection Notice)
- ▶ Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- ▶ Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- ▶ Adapted equipment put in place failed during exam/assessment
- ▶ Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- ▶ Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment

Entries

- ▶ Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- ▶ Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- ▶ Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- ▶ Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- ▶ Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- ▶ Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- ▶ Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- ▶ Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- ▶ Online system failed during (online) exam/assessment
- ▶ Disruption during exam/assessment
- ▶ Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- ▶ Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- ▶ Failure to inform/update candidate on the outcome of a special consideration application

Results and Post-results

- ▶ Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- ▶ Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of an enquiry
- ▶ Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- ▶ Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body *post-results services*)
- ▶ Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via [insert who] to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- ▶ Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong exam paper for a candidate
- ▶ Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- ▶ Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Complaints and appeals procedure

If a candidate (or parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, Henley in Arden School encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. A concern or complaint should be made in writing to the Headteacher.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally the candidate (or parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

- ▶ A complaint should be submitted in writing by completing a **complaints and appeals form**
- ▶ Forms are available on the website through this policy
- ▶ Completed forms should be returned to the Headteacher
- ▶ Forms received will be logged on receipt by the centre and acknowledged within 2 calendar days

How a formal complaint is investigated

- ▶ The Headteacher will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion
- ▶ The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 3 working weeks

Appeals

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

- ▶ Any appeal must be submitted in writing by again completing a **complaints and appeals form**
- ▶ Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 3 calendar days
- ▶ The appeal will be referred to the Chair of Governors for consideration
- ▶ The Chair of Governors will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in due course

Complaints and appeals form	FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
	Date received	
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your complaint/appeal	Reference No.	

- Complaint/appeal against the centre's delivery of a qualification
- Complaint/appeal against the centre's administration of a qualification

Appendix 5

Access arrangements policy for exams

What are access arrangements and reasonable adjustments?

Access arrangements

“Access arrangements are agreed before an assessment. They allow candidates with special educational needs, disabilities or temporary injuries to access the assessment and show what they know and can do without changing the demands of the assessment. The intention behind an access arrangement is to meet the particular needs of an individual candidate without affecting the integrity of the assessment. Access arrangements are the principal way in which awarding bodies comply with the duty under the Equality Act 2010 to make ‘reasonable adjustments’.”*

[AA Definitions, page 3]

Reasonable adjustments

“The Equality Act 2010 requires an Awarding Body to make reasonable adjustments where a disabled person would be at a substantial disadvantage in undertaking an assessment.

A reasonable adjustment for a particular person may be unique to that individual and may not be included in the list of available Access Arrangements.

How reasonable the adjustment is will depend on a number of factors including the needs of the disabled candidate/learner. An adjustment may not be considered reasonable if it involves unreasonable costs, timeframes or affects the security or integrity of the assessment.

There is no duty on the Awarding Bodies to make any adjustment to the assessment objectives being tested in an assessment.”

[AA Definitions, page 3]

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm that [insert centre name] complies with its “...obligation to identify the need for, request and implement access arrangements...”

[JCQ General Regulations for Approved Centres, 5.5]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as [GR](#).

The policy is annually reviewed to ensure that arrangements are carried out in accordance with the current edition of the JCQ publication *Adjustments for candidates with disabilities and learning difficulties Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*’.

This publication is further referred to in this policy as [AA](#).

Disability policy (exams)

A large part of the access arrangements policy is covered in the Disability policy (exams) which covers staff roles and responsibilities in identifying the need for, requesting and implementing access arrangements and the conduct of exams.

The school's disability policy (exams) can be found at the end of the exams policy (Appendix 3).

The access arrangements policy further covers the assessment process and related issues in more detail.

The assessment process

Assessments are carried out by an assessor(s) appointed by the head of centre. The assessor is appropriately qualified as required by JCQ regulations in [AA](#) 7.3.

The qualification(s) of the current assessor(s)

Diploma for teachers of pupils with specific learning difficulties.

Checking the qualification(s) of the assessor(s)

The assessor has provided a photocopy of her qualification.

How the assessment process is administered

Henley in Arden School get Pupil Support Services (through Access to Education) into school to test pupils using assessments such as the WRAT 4 testing suite.

Recording evidence of need

Henley in Arden School use the JCQ form 8 (as do Pupils Support Services) to record assessment and need.

Need is assessed using a combination of elements such as computerised reading tests, pupil support worker assessments and school cycle assessment data.

Gathering evidence to demonstrate *normal way of working*

Teaching assistants are deployed for pupils with an identified need. Scribes are assigned as soon as need is identified.

Teaching staff are given a SEN strategies and information booklet at the start of the year to support SEN learners and ensure that they receive the support that they are entitled to.

Processing access arrangements

Arrangements requiring awarding body approval

Access arrangements online (AAO) is a tool provided by JCQ member awarding bodies for centres to apply for required access arrangement approval for the qualifications listed on page 2 of [AA](#). This tool also provides the facility to order modified papers for those qualifications listed on page 74.

AAO is accessed by logging in to any of the awarding body secure extranet sites. A single application is required for each candidate regardless of the awarding body used.

The Exams Officer processes all access arrangements using the signed form 8 (checking that there is a signed data protection notice). The AAO confirmation is printed off and kept with the other documentation ready for JCQ inspection.

Centre-delegated access arrangements

Evidence is gathered in the same way as for AAO approved arrangements, but also through correspondence from family GPs, referrals from outside agencies and teacher / TA observations.

Centre-specific criteria for particular access arrangements

Word processor policy (exams)

An exam candidate may be approved the use of a word processor where this is appropriate to the candidate's needs and not simply because this is the candidate's preferred way of working within the centre.

The school's word processor policy (exams) can be found at the end of the exams policy (Appendix 6).

Separate invigilation within the centre

A decision where an exam candidate may be approved separate invigilation within the centre will be made by the SENCo.

The decision will be based on

"whether the candidate has a substantial and long term impairment which has an adverse effect and the candidate's normal way of working within the centre."

[AA

5.16]

Appendix 6

Word processor policy for exams

Introduction

This policy on the use of word processors in examinations and assessments is reviewed and updated annually, on the publication of updated JCQ regulations and guidance contained in the publications [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#) and [Instructions for conducting examinations](#).

References to 'AA' relate to JCQ *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2017-2018* and ICE to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations 2017-2018*.

Purpose of the policy

This policy details how the centre manages and administers the use of word processors (including laptops and tablets) in examinations and assessments.

Principles for using a word processor

Henley in Arden School complies with AA chapter 4 *Adjustments for candidates with disabilities and learning difficulties* regulations and guidance as follows:

(AA 4.2.1)

- ▶ Candidates with access to word processors are allowed to do so in order to remove barriers for disabled candidates which prevent them from being placed at a substantial disadvantage as a consequence of persistent and significant difficulties
- ▶ The use of word processors is only permitted whilst ensuring that the integrity of the assessment is maintained, at the same time as providing access to assessments for a disabled candidate

(AA 4.2.2)

- ▶ The use of a word processor is not granted where it will compromise the assessment objectives of the specification in question

(AA 4.2.3)

- ▶ Candidates may not require the use of a word processor in each specification. As subjects and their methods of assessments may vary, leading to different demands of our candidates, the need for the use of a word processor is considered on a subject-by-subject basis

(AA 4.2.4)

- ▶ The use of a word processor is normally considered and agreed where appropriate at the start of the course providing the centre has firmly established a picture of need and normal way of working for a candidate
- ▶ Candidates are made aware when they will have the use of a word processor for timetabled examinations and non-examination assessments

(AA 4.2.5)

- ▶ The use of a word processor for candidates is only granted if it reflects the support given to the candidate as their 'normal way of working', which is defined as support:

- ▶ in the classroom (where appropriate); or
- ▶ working in small groups for reading and/or writing; or
- ▶ literacy support lessons; or
- ▶ literacy intervention strategies; and/or
- ▶ in internal school tests/examinations
- ▶ mock examinations

The only exceptions to the above would be a temporary injury or impairment, or a diagnosis of a disability or manifestation of an impairment relating to an existing disability arising after the start of the course.

The use of a word processor

Henley in Arden School complies with AA chapter 5 *Access arrangements available* as follows:

(AA 5.8.1)

- ▶ Provides a word processor with the spelling and grammar check facility/predictive text disabled (switched off)
- ▶ Only grants the use of a word processor to a candidate where it is their normal way of working (see 4.2.5 above) within the centre
- ▶ Only grants the use of a word processor to a candidate if it is appropriate to their needs (for example, the quality of language significantly improves as a result of using a word processor due to problems with planning and organisation when writing by hand) (The above also extends to the use of electronic braille and tablets)

(AA 5.8.2)

- ▶ Provides access to word processors to candidates in non-examination assessment components as standard practice unless prohibited by the specification

(AA 5.8.3)

- ▶ Allows candidates to use a word processor in an examination to type certain questions, i.e. those requiring extended writing, and handwrite shorter answers
- ▶ Are also aware that examinations which have a significant amount of writing, as well as those that place a greater demand on the need to organise thought and plan extended answers, are those where candidates will frequently need to type. Examinations which require more simplistic answers are often easier to handwrite within the answer booklet. The candidate avoids the difficulty of visually tracking between the question paper and screen

(AA 5.8.4)

- ▶ In all cases, ensures that a word processor cover sheet (Form 4) is completed and included with each candidate's typed script (according to the instructions issued by the individual awarding body)
- ▶ Does not simply grant the use of a word processor to a candidate because he/she prefers to type rather than write or can work faster on a keyboard, or because he/she uses a laptop at home

Word processors and their programmes

Henley in Arden School complies with ICE 8.8 *Word processors* instructions by ensuring:

- ▶ word processors are used as a type-writer, not as a database, although standard formatting software is acceptable
- ▶ word processors have been cleared of any previously stored data, as must any portable storage medium used
- ▶ an unauthorised memory stick is not permitted for use by a candidate
- ▶ where required, candidates are provided with a memory stick, which has been cleared of any previously stored data, by a nominated member of centre staff
- ▶ word processors are in good working order at the time of the examination
- ▶ word processors are accommodated in such a way that other candidates are not disturbed and cannot read the screen
- ▶ where a candidate using a word processor is accommodated separately, a separate invigilator is used
- ▶ word processors are either connected to a printer so that a script can be printed off, or have the facility to print from a portable storage medium
- ▶ documents are printed after the examination is over
- ▶ candidates are present to verify that the work printed is their own
- ▶ word processed scripts are inserted in/attached to any answer booklet which contains some of the answers (and according to instructions issued by the individual awarding body)
- ▶ word processors are used to produce scripts under secure conditions, and if they are not then the centre is aware that they may be refused by the awarding body
- ▶ word processors are not used to perform skills which are being assessed
- ▶ word processors are not connected to an intranet or any other means of communication.
- ▶ candidates are not given access to other applications such as a calculator (where prohibited in the examination), spreadsheets etc when using a word processor
- ▶ graphic packages or computer aided design software is not included on a word processor unless permission has been given to use these
- ▶ predictive text software or an automatic spelling and grammar check is disabled unless the candidate has been permitted a scribe or is using voice recognition technology (the script must have a completed scribe cover sheet included), or the awarding body's specification permits the use of automatic spell checking
- ▶ voice recognition technology is not included on word processors unless the candidate has permission to use a scribe or relevant software
- ▶ word processors are not used on the candidate's behalf by a third party unless the candidate has permission to use a scribe

Laptops and tablets

Henley in Arden School further complies with ICE 8.8 instructions by ensuring:

- ▶ tablets used during examinations/assessments are designed to run for a long period of time once fully charged and are 'free-standing'

- ▶ the battery capacity of all laptops and/or tablets is checked before the candidate's examination(s) with the battery sufficiently charged for the entire duration of the examination
- ▶ candidates with fully charged laptops or tablets are given the opportunity to be seated within the main examination hall without the need for separate invigilation and power points
- ▶ candidates are reminded that their centre number, candidate number and the unit/component code must appear on each page as a header or footer
- ▶ candidates using Notepad or Wordpad software (which do not allow for the insertion of a header or footer) are instructed to handwrite their details as a header or footer once they have finished the examination and printed off their typed script; candidates are also supervised to ensure that they are solely performing this task and not re-reading their answers or amending their work in any way
- ▶ candidates are instructed to appropriately number each page
- ▶ candidates are instructed to use a minimum 12pt font and double spacing
- ▶ invigilators remind candidates to save their work at regular intervals
- ▶ where it is possible 'autosave' is set up on each laptop/tablet
- ▶ candidates are present at the end of the examination when their script is printed off so they can verify that the work printed is their own

Accommodating word processors in examinations

Candidates using word processors (including laptops or tablets) are internally accommodated along with the access arrangement candidates.

Appendix 7

The criteria Henley in Arden School uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations

The 'normal way of working' for exam candidates, as directed by the head of centre, is that candidates handwrite their exams. An exception to this is where a candidate may have an approved access arrangement in place, for example the use of a scribe.

Awarding word processors

There are also exceptions where a candidate may be awarded the use of a word processor in exams where he/she has a firmly established need, it reflects the candidate's normal way of working and by not being awarded a word processor would be at a substantial disadvantage to other candidates.

Exceptions might include where a candidate has, for example:

- ▶ a learning difficulty which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on their ability to write legibly
- ▶ a medical condition
- ▶ a physical disability
- ▶ a sensory impairment
- ▶ planning and organisational problems when writing by hand

Allocating word processors

Appropriate exam-compliant word processors will be allocated by the IT department in liaison with the SENCo and the exams officer. In exceptional circumstances where the number of appropriate word processors may be insufficient for the cohort of candidates approved to use them in an exam session, the cohort will be split into two groups. One group will sit the exam earlier than or later than the published start time. The security of the exam will be maintained at all times and candidates will be supervised in line with section 2.11 of ICE.

Appendix 8

Controlled assessment policy for exams

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of legacy GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of controlled assessment.

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- ▶ cover procedures for planning and managing controlled assessments
- ▶ define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to controlled assessments
- ▶ manage risks associated with controlled assessments

What are controlled assessments?

Controlled assessment is a form of internal assessment where the control levels (High, Medium or Low) are set for each stage of the assessment process:

- ▶ task setting
- ▶ task taking
- ▶ task marking.

In some subjects, work will be marked by the awarding body. For most subjects however, work will be marked by the centre and moderated by the awarding body.

Staff roles and responsibilities

The Deputy Head Curriculum will:

- ▶ Ensure, on behalf of the Headteacher, that each department carries out controlled assessment in accordance with the instructions issued by the Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ);
- ▶ Co-ordinate, with Heads of Department, a schedule for controlled assessment to take place;
- ▶ Map overall resource management requirements for the year. As part of this resolve:
 - Clashes/ problems over the timing or operation of controlled assessments;
 - Issues arising from the need for particular facilities (rooms, IT networks, time out of school etc);
- ▶ Ensure all staff, students and parents have access to a calendar of events.

Heads of Department will ensure:

- ▶ The safe and secure conduct of controlled assessment in their area and comply with
- ▶ JCQ guidelines and awarding bodies' subject-specific instructions;
- ▶ All marking is standardised;
- ▶ All teachers in each department understand their responsibilities with regard to controlled assessment and are familiar with the contents of the JCQ publication "*Instructions for conducting controlled assessments*";
- ▶ Individual teachers understand the requirements of the awarding body's specification, particularly the level of control required, and are familiar with the relevant teachers' notes, and any other subject specific instruction;
- ▶ There is a clear policy in the department handbook on the carrying out of controlled assessment and appropriate staff training takes place on an annual basis;
- ▶ All confidential materials, together with the work produced by the candidates, are stored securely at all times. Secure storage is defined as a secure locked steel cabinet, a metal cabinet or similar;

- ▶ Support Staff are given sufficient notice in which to order and prepare materials needed for assessments;
- ▶ The Special Educational needs Co-ordinator (SENCO) is informed about any assistance required for the administration and management of access arrangements;
- ▶ In the case of formal supervision (high level of control) candidates do not have access to e-mail, the internet, *mobile phones* or any other electronic devices;
- ▶ Where videos or photographs/images of the candidates are to be included as part of the controlled assessment consent is obtained from parents/carers/guardians;
- ▶ A log is kept which contains:
 - The date and time of each assessment together with its title;
 - The name of the supervising teacher;
 - A list of candidates who were present during the assessment;
 - A list of any absent candidates;
 - A log of any incidents which occurred during the assessment is kept for each controlled assessment.

Teaching staff must:

- ▶ Comply with the general guidelines contained in the JCQ publication “*Instructions for conducting controlled assessments*”;
- ▶ Understand and comply with the awarding body specification for conducting controlled assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website;
- ▶ Obtain confidential materials/tasks set by awarding bodies in sufficient time to prepare for the assessment(s) and ensure that such materials, together with the work produced by the candidates, are stored securely at all times;
- ▶ Supervise assessments (**at the specified level of control**) and undertake the tasks required under the regulations, only permitting assistance to students as the specification allows;
- ▶ Ensure that students and supervising teachers sign authentication forms on completion of an assessment;
- ▶ Mark internally assessed components using the mark schemes provided by the awarding body. Submit marks to the Head of Department at the date required, keeping a record of the marks awarded;
- ▶ Retain candidates' work securely between assessment sessions (if more than one);
- ▶ Retain candidates' work securely until the closing date for enquiries about results. In the event that an enquiry is submitted, retain candidates work securely until the outcome of the enquiry and any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre.

The Exams Officer will:

- ▶ Enter students for individual units, whether assessed by controlled assessment, external exam or on-screen test, along with 'cash-in' codes before the deadline for final entries;
- ▶ Take responsibility for receipt, safe storage and safe transmission, whether in CD, digital or hard copy format;
- ▶ Download and distribute mark sheets for teaching staff to use, and collect and send mark sheets to awarding bodies before deadlines;
- ▶ On the few occasions where controlled assessment cannot be conducted in the classroom arrange suitable accommodation where controlled assessment can be carried out, at the direction of the Deputy Head Curriculum;

Special Educational Needs Co-ordinator will:

- ▶ Ensure access arrangements have been applied for;

- ▶ Work with teaching staff to ensure requirements for support staff are met.

Student malpractice

The Headteacher will:

- report to the appropriate awarding body at the earliest opportunity all suspicions or actual incidents of malpractice;
- if the irregularity is discovered prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication form investigate any alleged malpractice internally and record the outcome on the authentication form supplied by the awarding body;
- if the irregularity is identified after the candidate has signed the declaration of authentication, the Headteacher will submit full details of the case to the relevant awarding body at the earliest opportunity;
- supervise all investigations resulting from an allegation of malpractice;
- ensure that if it is necessary to delegate an investigation to a member of staff, the member of staff chosen is independent and not connected to the department involved in the suspected malpractice. This is to avoid conflicts of interest which can otherwise compromise the investigation;
- respond speedily and openly to all requests for an investigation into an allegation of malpractice, as this is in the best interests of centre staff, candidates and any others involved.

Teacher malpractice

- The school will carry out an investigation where it is evident that a teacher has helped a child with their controlled assessment beyond the guidelines contained within each specification;
- Where there is malpractice it will be dealt with under the disciplinary policy of the school and the awarding body will be informed.

Monitoring & evaluation

This policy will be monitored on behalf of the Governing Body by the Governors' Strategy Committee as part of the Exams Policy

Appendix 9

Non-examination assessment policy for exams

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

“The regulator’s definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not ‘externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions’ is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). ‘NEA’ therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as ‘NEA’.”

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword](#)]

[This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA](#)

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- ▶ cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- ▶ define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- ▶ manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

What are non-examination assessments?

“Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- *task setting;*
- *task taking;*
- *task marking.”*

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- ▶ Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with [NEA](#) and awarding body subject-specific instructions

- ▶ Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year
- ▶ Where applicable, liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit for GCSE (9-1) Computer Science

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- ▶ Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- ▶ Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- ▶ Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- ▶ Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- ▶ Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- ▶ Ensures [NEA](#) and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- ▶ Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- ▶ Understands the arrangements that the centre needs to put in place where the centre might accept private candidates (including distance learners and home educated students) for components of non-examination assessment

Subject teacher

- ▶ Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in [NEA](#)
- ▶ Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- ▶ Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments* to relevant centre staff
- ▶ Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- ▶ Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- ▶ Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- ▶ Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- ▶ Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- ▶ Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- ▶ Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- ▶ Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- ▶ Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- ▶ Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents [Information for candidates - non-examination assessments](#) and [Information for candidates - Social Media](#)
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents *Information for candidates*

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- ▶ As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- ▶ When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- ▶ Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- ▶ Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- ▶ Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- ▶ Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- ▶ Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- ▶ Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ▶ Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- ▶ Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- ▶ Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ▶ ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - ▶ signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- ▶ Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- ▶ Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- ▶ Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in [NEA](#) and informs the exams officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- ▶ Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- ▶ Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in [NEA](#) unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- ▶ Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- ▶ When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- ▶ When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- ▶ Follows secure storage instructions as defined in [NEA 4.8](#)
- ▶ Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- ▶ Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- ▶ Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- ▶ Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- ▶ Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- ▶ Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- ▶ Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body

- ▶ Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting examinations*

Submission of work

Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- ▶ Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- ▶ Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- ▶ Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- ▶ Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- ▶ Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- ▶ Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marketing process
- ▶ Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- ▶ Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- ▶ Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

- ▶ Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- ▶ Ensures accurate internal standardisation - for example by
 - ▶ obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - ▶ holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - ▶ carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - ▶ after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - ▶ making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - ▶ retaining work and evidence of standardisation

Subject teacher

- ▶ Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- ▶ Marks to common standards

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- ▶ Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- ▶ Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- ▶ Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA [Centre consortium arrangements for centre - assessed work](#)
- ▶ Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- ▶ Submits marks to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors

- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Ensures that for postal moderation
 - ▶ work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - ▶ moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - ▶ proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- ▶ Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- ▶ Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- ▶ Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- ▶ Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- ▶ Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

- ▶ Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- ▶ Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- ▶ Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

- ▶ Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
- ▶ Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- ▶ Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- ▶ Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- ▶ Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- ▶ Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - ▶ is absent
 - ▶ produces a reduced quantity of work
 - ▶ work has been lost
- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer

- ▶ Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication [A guide to the special consideration process](#)
- ▶ Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- ▶ Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- ▶ Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- ▶ Is familiar with the JCQ publication [Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures](#)
- ▶ Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- ▶ Is aware of the JCQ [Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work](#)
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document [Information for candidates - non-examination assessments](#)
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document [Information for candidates - Social Media](#)
- ▶ Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts the JCQ publication [Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures](#) to the head of centre
- ▶ Signposts the JCQ [Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work](#) to subject heads
- ▶ Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- ▶ Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Enquiries about results

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Subject head/lead

- ▶ Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- ▶ Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- ▶ Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

- ▶ Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication [Post Results Services \(Information and guidance to centres...\)](#)
- ▶ Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- ▶ Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- ▶ Collects candidate consent where required

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

- ▶ Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- ▶ Confirms understanding of the *Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England*
- ▶ Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- ▶ Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- ▶ Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- ▶ Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- ▶ Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	
Task taking		
Supervision		

Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	

teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	

	<p>Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them</p> <p>Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood</p>	
Collaboration and group work		
<p>Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted</p>	<p>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted</p> <p>Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</p>	
Authentication procedures		
<p>A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment</p> <p>Candidate plagiarises other material</p>	<p>Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</p> <p>Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</p> <p>Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</p> <p>The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment</p> <p>A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body</p>	
<p>Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration</p>	<p>Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</p> <p>Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</p> <p>Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</p>	
<p>Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms</p>	<p>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures</p>	
Presentation of work		
<p>Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment</p>	<p>Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</p>	
Keeping materials secure		
<p>Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored</p>	<p>Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</p> <p>Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage</p>	
<p>Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher</p>	<p>Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course</p> <p>Alternative secure storage sourced where required</p>	
Task marking – externally assessed components		

A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	<i>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate</i>	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	<i>The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register</i>	
Task marking – internally assessed components		
A candidate submits little or no work	<i>Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body</i>	
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work</i>	
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work</i>	
Candidate malpractice is discovered	<i>Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed</i>	
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	<i>A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not</i>	
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	<i>Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension</i>	
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	<i>Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates</i>	
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	<i>Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body</i>	

	<p><i>Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks</i></p> <p><i>Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process</i></p> <p><i>Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks</i></p> <p><i>Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body</i></p>	
<p>Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate</p>	<p><i>Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course</i></p> <p><i>Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood</i></p> <p><i>Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met</i></p> <p><i>Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</i></p>	
<p>Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher</p>	<p><i>Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year</i></p> <p><i>Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach</i></p> <p><i>Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers</i></p> <p><i>Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed</i></p>	
<p>Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period</p>	<p><i>See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)</i></p>	

Appendix 10

Emergency evacuation policy for exams

Purpose of the policy

This policy details how Henley in Arden School deals with an emergency evacuation of the exam room(s) by defining staff roles and responsibilities and confirming the emergency evacuation procedure.

When is an emergency evacuation required?

An emergency evacuation is required where it is unsafe for candidates to remain in the exam room. This might include a fire in the exam room, the fire alarm sounding to warn of fire, bomb alert or other serious threat.

In exceptional situations, where candidates might be severely disadvantaged or distressed by remaining in the exam room, the emergency evacuation procedure may also need to be followed. This might include situations where there is severe disruption in the exam room, serious illness of a candidate or invigilator or similarly serious incidents.

Emergency evacuation of an exam room

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures the emergency evacuation policy for exams is fit for purpose and complies with relevant health and safety regulation

Senior leader

- ▶ Where responsible for the centre-wide emergency evacuation procedure, ensures all staff and appointed fire marshals are aware of the policy and procedures to be followed when an emergency evacuation of an exam room is required

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place for the emergency evacuation of a disabled candidate from an exam room where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for the candidate
- ▶ Ensures the candidate is informed prior to taking their exams of what will happen in the event of an emergency evacuation

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures invigilators are trained in emergency evacuation procedures and how an incident and actions taken must be recorded
- ▶ Ensures candidates are briefed (*Candidate exam handbook*), prior to exams taking place, on what will happen in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- ▶ Provides invigilators with a copy of the emergency evacuation procedures for every exam room
- ▶ Provides a standard invigilator announcement for each exam which includes appropriate information for candidates regarding what will happen if the fire alarm sounds
- ▶ Provides an exam room incident log in each exam room

- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo and other relevant staff prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- ▶ Briefs invigilators prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- ▶ Ensures appropriate follow-up is undertaken after an emergency evacuation reporting the incident to the awarding body and the actions taken through the *special consideration* process

Invigilators

- ▶ By attending training, ensure they understand what to do in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- ▶ Follow the actions required in the emergency evacuation procedure issued to them for every exam room
- ▶ Confirm with the exams officer, where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate they are invigilating

Other relevant centre staff

- Support the senior leader, SENCo, exams officer and invigilators in ensuring the safe emergency evacuation of exam rooms

Emergency evacuation procedure

Invigilators are trained in this procedure and understand the actions they must take in the event of a fire alarm or other emergency that leads to an evacuation of the exam room.

Emergency evacuation procedure
Actions to be taken <i>(as detailed in the current JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations section 18, Emergencies)</i>
Stop the candidates from writing
Collect the attendance register (in order to ensure all candidates are present)
Evacuate the examination room in line with the instructions given by the appropriate authority
Advise candidates to leave all question papers and scripts in the examination room
Candidates should leave the room in silence
Make sure that the candidates are supervised as closely as possible while they are out of the examination room to make sure there is no discussion about the examination
Make a note of the time of the interruption and how long it lasted.
Allow the candidates the full working time set for the examination.
If there are only a few candidates, consider the possibility of taking the candidates (with question papers and scripts) to another place to finish the examination
Make a full report of the incident and of the action taken, and send to the relevant awarding body

Appendix 11

Special consideration policy for exams

What is special consideration?

“Special consideration is a post-examination adjustment to a candidate’s mark or grade to reflect temporary illness, temporary injury or some other event outside of the candidate’s control at the time of the assessment, which has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on a candidate’s ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Special consideration can only seek to go some way to assist a candidate affected by a potentially wide range of difficulties, emotional or physical, which may influence performance in examinations. It cannot remove the difficulty faced by the candidate. There will be situations where candidates should not be entered for an examination. Only minor adjustments can be made to the mark awarded because to do more than this would jeopardize the standard of the examination.”

[JCQ [A guide to the special consideration process](#) section 1]

This document is further referred to in this policy as [SC](#)

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to identify roles and responsibilities in the special consideration process and confirms that [insert centre name] agrees to “submit any applications for special consideration where candidates meet the published criteria.”

[JCQ [General regulations for approved centres](#) section 5.10]

Eligibility for special consideration

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Is familiar with the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publication [SC](#)
- ▶ Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies by the exams officer

Exams officer

- ▶ Understands the criteria as detailed in [SC](#) to determine where candidates will/will not be eligible for special consideration
- ▶ Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies

Teaching staff and/or SENCo

- ▶ Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to determine a candidate’s eligibility for special consideration.

Candidates (or parents/carers)

- ▶ Provide any medical or other evidence that may be required to determine eligibility for special consideration

Applying for special consideration

Where eligible, special consideration will be applied for in a specific exam series where candidates “...have been fully prepared and have covered the whole course but performance in the examination, or in the production of controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment, is materially affected by adverse circumstances beyond their control.” [\[SC 2\]](#)

If a candidate is absent for acceptable reasons, and the centre can verify this, special consideration will be applied for if the exam missed is in the terminal series and the *minimum requirements for enhanced grading in cases of acceptable absence* can be met. If there is an opportunity to re-enter the candidate in the next available exam series, the centre will make the entry and special consideration will not be applied for

Where other issues or problems affect a candidate or a group of candidates, special consideration will be explored in [SC 5](#) and applied for where eligible. This might include, for example:

- ▶ requesting an honorary certificate
- ▶ a short extension to controlled assessment/coursework/non-examination assessment deadlines
- ▶ submitting a reduced quantity of controlled assessment/coursework/non-examination assessment (shortfall in work)
- ▶ lost or damaged work
- ▶ candidates taking an incorrect or defective question paper
- ▶ candidates undertaking the wrong controlled assessment assignment

Where a candidate may be eligible for special consideration (a post assessment adjustment) in a vocational qualification, the centre will follow [SC 7](#) and awarding body guidance to determine if, when and how an adjustment can be applied for.

Processing applications for special consideration

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures where a candidate may be a relative of the exams officer, the application will be authorised by an alternative member of centre staff

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures applications will be processed as required by the awarding bodies
- ▶ Keeps evidence to support applications on file until after the publication of results
- ▶ Meets the required deadline(s) for submitting applications

Teaching staff and/or SENCo

- ▶ Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to support a candidate's application for special consideration

Candidates (or parents/carers)

- ▶ Will be asked to provide any required medical or other evidence that may be required to support an application for special consideration

Submitting applications for special consideration

Where a candidate or group of candidates is/are eligible for special consideration, applications will be submitted to the relevant awarding body following the published processes in [SC](#).

[Evidence to support applications will be kept on file until after the publication of results.](#)

Timetabled written exams

- ▶ For GCSE qualifications, applications for individual candidates will be submitted online by logging into the relevant awarding body secure extranet site and following the links to special consideration
- ▶ The processes for submitting a single application to cover all exams *where a candidate is present but disadvantaged* and a separate application for each day *where a candidate is absent from an examination for an acceptable reason* detailed in [SC 6](#) will be followed
- ▶ For other qualifications, applications are submitted online where the awarding body's secure system accepts these
- ▶ The paper [form 10](#) Jcq/SC Application for special consideration will only be completed and submitted to the awarding body where the online system does not accept applications for a particular qualification
- ▶ For groups of candidates, applications will be made online where the awarding body's secure system accepts group applications or form 10 will be completed
- ▶ The paper [form 14](#) Jcq/ME Self certification for candidates who have missed an examination will only be completed by a candidate where circumstances warrant this and will not be used where the centre knows the candidate was ill

Internally assessed work

- ▶ Where appropriate, applications will be made online where the awarding body's secure system accepts them or form 10 will be completed and submitted to the awarding body
- ▶ Where a short extension to a deadline is being requested an application will be submitted online or by direct email, dependent on the awarding body
- ▶ Where an application relates to a shortfall in work, this will be submitted online or by completing form 10, dependent on the awarding body
- ▶ Where an application relates to lost or damaged work, this will be submitted online or by completing [form 15](#) Jcq/LCW Notification of lost centre assessed work, dependent on the awarding body

Post assessment adjustments – vocational qualifications

- ▶ Where relevant and eligible, form [VQ/SC Application for special consideration](#) Vocational qualifications will be completed and submitted to the awarding body

Appendix 12

BTEC policy for exams

Registration & Certification

Aim: To register individual learners on the correct programme within agreed timescales.

To claim valid learner certificates within agreed timescales.

To construct a secure, accurate and accessible audit trail to ensure that individual learner registration and certification claims can be tracked to the certificate which is issued for each learner.

In order to do this, the centre will:

- register each learner within the awarding body requirements
- provide a mechanism for programme teams to check the accuracy of learner registrations
- inform the awarding body of withdrawals, transfers or changes to learner details
- ensure that certificate claims are timely and based solely on internally verified assessment records
- assessor and examinations officer will input verified assessment outcomes together to minimise possibility of administrative error
- audit certificate claims made to the awarding body
- audit the certificates received from the awarding body to ensure accuracy and completeness
- keep all records safely and securely for three years post certification.

Assessment Policy

Aim: To ensure that assessment methodology is valid, reliable and does not disadvantage or advantage any group of learners or individuals.

To ensure that the assessment procedure is open, fair and free from bias and to national standards.

To ensure that there is accurate and detailed recording of assessment decisions.

In order to do this, the centre will:

- ensure that learners are provided with assignments that are fit for purpose, to enable them to produce appropriate evidence for assessment
- ensure that learners meet formal assessment deadlines (in exceptional circumstances learners may negotiate a deadline extension)
- assess learner's evidence using only the published assessment and grading criteria
- ensure that assessment decisions are impartial, valid and reliable
- develop assessment procedures that will minimise the opportunity for malpractice
- maintain accurate and detailed records of assessment decisions
- maintain a robust and rigorous internal verification procedure

- a resubmission will only be approved by the Lead IV if the learner:
 - has met initial deadlines
 - will be able to provide improved evidence without further guidance
 - signs and dates a declaration of authenticity to accompany evidence
- when a resubmission has been approved, the learner will be given 10 working days to resubmit evidence
- provide samples for Standards Verification as required by the awarding body
- monitor standards verification reports and undertake any remedial action required
- share good assessment practice between all BTEC programme teams
- ensure that BTEC assessment methodology and the role of the assessor are understood by all BTEC staff
- provide resources to ensure that assessment can be performed accurately and appropriately.

Internal Verification Policy

Aim: To ensure there is an accredited lead internal verifier in each principal subject area

To ensure that internal verification is valid, reliable and covers all assessors and programme activity.

To ensure that the internal verification procedure is open, fair and free from bias.

To ensure that there is accurate and detailed recording of internal verification decisions.

In order to do this, the centre will ensure that:

- a lead internal verifier for each QCF principal subject area is accredited by Pearson via the successful completion of an online standardisation exercise
- a lead internal verifier for each NQF principal subject area registers with Pearson and accesses the standardisation training materials provided and work through them with the programme team.
- each lead internal verifier oversees effective internal verification systems within each principal subject area
- staff are briefed and trained in the requirements for current internal verification procedures
- effective internal verification roles are defined, maintained and supported
- internal verification is promoted as a developmental process between staff
- standardised internal verification documentation is provided and used
- all centre assessment instruments are verified as fit for purpose

- an annual internal verification schedule, linked to assessment plans, is in place
- an appropriately structured sample of assessment from all programmes, sites and teams is internally verified, to ensure centre programmes conform to national standards and standards verification requirements
- secure records of all internal verification activity are maintained
- the outcome of internal verification is used to enhance future assessment practice.

Appeals Policy

Aim: To enable the learner to enquire, question or appeal against an assessment decision.

To attempt to reach agreement between the learner and the assessor at the earliest opportunity.

To standardise and record any appeal to ensure openness and fairness.

To facilitate a learner's ultimate right of appeal to the awarding body, where appropriate.

To protect the interests of all learners and the integrity of the qualification.

In order to do this, the centre will:

- inform the learner at induction, of the Appeals Policy and procedure
- record, track and validate any appeal
- forward the appeal to the awarding body when a learner considers that a decision continues to disadvantage her/him after the internal appeals process has been exhausted
- keep appeals records for inspection by the awarding body for a minimum of 18 months
- have a staged appeals procedure
- will take appropriate action to protect the interests of other learners and the integrity of the qualification, when the outcome of an appeal questions the validity of other results
- monitor appeals to inform quality improvement.

Assessment Malpractice Policy

Aim: To identify and minimise the risk of malpractice by staff or learners.

To respond to any incident of alleged malpractice promptly and objectively.

To standardise and record any investigation of malpractice to ensure openness and fairness.

To impose appropriate penalties and/or sanctions on learners or staff where incidents (or attempted incidents) of malpractice are proven.

To protect the integrity of this centre and BTEC qualifications.

In order to do this, the centre will:

- seek to avoid potential malpractice by using the induction period and the student handbook to inform learners of the centre's policy on malpractice and the penalties for attempted and actual incidents of malpractice
- show learners the appropriate formats to record cited texts and other materials or information sources
- ask learners to declare that their work is their own
- ask learners to provide evidence that they have interpreted and synthesised appropriate information and acknowledged any sources used
- conduct an investigation in a form commensurate with the nature of the malpractice allegation. Such an investigation will be supported by the *Head of Centre* and all personnel linked to the allegation.
- make the individual fully aware at the earliest opportunity of the nature of the alleged malpractice and of the possible consequences should malpractice be proven
- give the individual the opportunity to respond to the allegations made
- inform the individual of the avenues for appealing against any judgment
- document all stages of any investigation.

Where malpractice is proven, the appropriate penalties will be applied in line with Awarding Body guidance.

Definition of Malpractice by Learners

This list is not exhaustive and other instances of malpractice may be considered by this centre at its discretion:

- plagiarism of any nature
- collusion by working collaboratively with other learners to produce work that is submitted as individual learner work
- copying (including the use of ICT to aid copying)
- deliberate destruction of another's work
- fabrication of results or evidence
- false declaration of authenticity in relation to the contents of a portfolio or coursework
- impersonation by pretending to be someone else in order to produce the work for another
- failing to abide by the instructions of an assessor / invigilator or Edexcel conditions in relation to the assessment / test rules, regulations and security

Definition of Malpractice by Centre Staff

This list is not exhaustive and other instances of malpractice may be considered by this centre at its discretion:

- improper assistance to candidates

- inventing or changing marks for internally assessed work (coursework or portfolio evidence) where there is insufficient evidence of the candidates' achievement to justify the marks given or assessment decisions made
- failure to keep candidate coursework/portfolios of evidence secure
- fraudulent claims for certificates
- inappropriate retention of certificates
- assisting learners in the production of work for assessment, where the support has the potential to influence the outcomes of assessment, for example where the assistance involves centre staff producing work for the learner
- producing falsified witness statements, for example for evidence the learner has not generated
- allowing evidence, which is known by the staff member not to be the learner's own, to be included in a learner's assignment / task / portfolio / coursework
- facilitating and allowing impersonation
- misusing the conditions for special learner requirements, for example where learners are permitted support, such as a scribe, this is permissible up to the point where the support has the potential to influence the outcome of the assessment
- falsifying records/certificates, for example by alteration, substitution, or by fraud
- fraudulent certificate claims, that is claiming for a certificate prior to the learner completing all the requirements of assessment.

Recognition of Prior Learning Policy

Aim: To identify prior learning achievement that may be relevant to current courses.

In order to achieve this, the centre will:

- identify new learners into the centre from Year 9 onwards
- contact the previous school attended by new learners and ascertain the following - subjects studied, unit achievement and / or qualifications gained.

Reasonable Adjustment & Special Consideration Policy

Aim: To ensure that learners are not unfairly disadvantaged through disability, temporary illness, injury or adverse circumstances.

In order to achieve this, the centre will:

- submit reasonable adjustments in a timely manner
- put arrangements in place to enable a learner, in extenuating circumstances, to complete assessment and thus achieve the qualification.
- if above arrangements are unsuccessful, apply to Edexcel for Special Consideration

Employer Involvement Policy

Aim: Employer involvement in the delivery and/or assessment of technical qualifications provides a clear 'line of sight' to work, enriches learning and raises the credibility of the qualification

To ensure a designated lead for Employer Involvement

Requires all learners to undertake meaningful activity involving employers during their study

To ensure that there is an accurate and detailed recording of meaningful employer involvement for every individual learner

The contribution of meaningful activities to the qualification must be significant and relate to the qualification as a minimum.

In order to do this, the centre will:

- Produce an Employer Involvement plan at the start of the programme that reflects the meaningful activities that contribute to the technical qualification.
- Produce a clear and accurate meaningful activity plan that covers all learners
- Establish and agree milestones with employers to develop, execute and review meaningful activities for learners
- Confirm learner engagement against the defined meaningful activities identified.
- Ensure effective, reliable and accurate tracking / recording of individual learner involvement in meaningful activity in relation to the individual learner field of study
- Prepare the learner to engage actively and positively with opportunities offered with employer involvement
- Develop robust and accurate recording procedures that minimise the opportunity for malpractice
- Maintain a robust and rigorous quality assurance procedure
- Provide evidence for standards verification and quality management review as required by the awarding body
- Monitor SV and QMR reports and undertake any remedial action required
- Share good practice between all Technical qualification teams in reference to employer involvement
- Ensure that all staff teaching on Technical qualifications understand the requirements and importance of meaningful employer involvement.
- Provide resources to ensure effective employer involvement and accurate monitoring and recording.
- A Standardised centre approach to documentation used across the centre for the purpose of employer involvement
- An annual review of employer involvement to ensure that activities are meaningful and appropriate and enhance all future employer involvement
- Secure records of all activities are maintained